



# HATEWATCH

Keeping an Eye on the Radical Right



## Student Group at Towson University to Host White Nationalist Tomorrow

Posted in [Extremist Propaganda](#), [White Nationalism](#) by Arthur Goldwag on October 1, 2012

 [Print This Post](#)

Anyone wondering what the future of organized hate might look like need cast their eyes no further than Matthew Heimbach, the outspoken and energetic former president of [Youth for Western Civilization's](#) (YWC) erstwhile chapter at Towson University in Maryland and the aspiring leader of its newly proposed but as yet unsanctioned White Student Union. Heimbach's YWC group was [disbanded](#) last year when its faculty advisor withdrew after members chalked white pride slogans on sidewalks. Now a senior at Towson, Heimbach has threatened to take the university to court if his new organization is not approved.

"Free speech advocates in Maryland are supporting our case and agree that University policies are at best in the gray or worst outright unconstitutional," he told [The Towerlight](#), the Towson student newspaper. "Our message will not be silenced, we have the right to meet. If the University decides to overstep their legal boundaries ... then they will meet definitive, quick action."

And to highlight the first planned first meeting of his White Student Union, set for tomorrow, Heimbach has invited his "personal hero," a white nationalist writer named Jared Taylor who once wrote, "When blacks are left entirely to their own devices, Western civilization — any kind of civilization — disappears."

Heimbach had been moving steadily to the right for some time before he reached the point of bedding down with Taylor. Heimbach made his first foray into white nationalist activism when he proposed that his hometown of Poolesville, Md., erect a memorial to its Confederate soldiers. "Preserving slavery was not the only reason for the war," he told [The Gazette](#) of Gaithersburg, Md., at the time, likening the South of the period to "a tea party conservative against big government" today.

On April 4, 2011, the then-19-year-old Heimbach appeared before Poolesville's commissioners to [oppose \(pdf\)](#) a counter-proposal for

search site archives

SEARCH



### Recent Posts

- > 10/24/2012: [Sovereign President: 'I am in jail because I stood for righteousness and truth'](#)
- > 10/24/2012: [Bogus Louisiana Hate Crime Report Illuminates Trend](#)
- > 10/23/2012: [Possible Hate Attack on Black Woman Roils Louisiana Town](#)
- > 10/23/2012: [WorldNetDaily Now Peddling White Nationalism](#)

### Editor's Pick

- > 03/08/2012: [New SPLC Report: 'Patriot' Movement Explodes](#)

### Archives

- > Detailed Archive List
- > Hatewatch Headlines

### SPLC Newsletters

- > Hatewatch Weekly
- > SPLC news

### Resources

- > Hate Map
- > [Intelligence Report](#)
- > Southern Poverty Law Center

a monument commemorated both Union and Confederate soldiers — a notion inspired not just by political correctness but by the historical fact that Maryland, a border state, had soldiers in both armies. Identifying himself as a member of the [Sons of Confederate Veterans](#) and a re-enactor for the 8<sup>th</sup> Virginia Infantry, Heimbach argued that Poolesville had been a hotbed of secessionism. “If we were a southern town and our men fought for the south,” he said, “a memorial to both sides ... is ... almost insulting to the men who fought and gave their lives from Poolesville and the surrounding areas of Montgomery County.”

As [previously noted](#) at Hatewatch, Heimbach has kept himself busy since his YWC chapter went dark. As the [director](#) of the Baltimore subchapter of the National Capital Area Chapter of the [Council of Conservative Citizens](#) — a group directly descended from the White Citizens Councils that resisted desegregation during the 1950s and 1960s — he attended that organization’s national conference in Nashville, Tenn., this July. The U.S. is “a terminal cancer patient,” he told the [Southern Nationalist Network](#) (SNN) at the time. “There’s nothing we can do to save her, we just need to be prepared and ready to defend ourselves and our sovereignty when the time comes.”

Later that same month, he appeared on an [SNN](#) podcast again, this time from Montgomery, Ala., where he was attending a conference of the neo-Confederate [League of the South](#). Heimbach bragged about some of the “after hours” fun he and his fellow attendees had been having 20. They’d waved the Confederate battle flag outside the church where “the honorable and distinguished Reverend doctor” Martin Luther King had preached — a man, Heimbach sneered, “who had the audacity to change American while cheating on his wife, hanging around with Communists, and stealing and plagiarizing all his material.” On July 20, they’d visited and “flagged” the outside of the nearby Southern Poverty Law Center’s headquarters as well. The SPLC building, Heimbach wrote, looked “like an abomination created by the empire in Star Wars. You look at it and you can just *feel* the evil.” Apparently worried by that, Heimbach came wearing what appeared to be a holstered pistol.

Heimbach insists that the White Student Union he is proposing will be in the same mold as Towson’s existing “Black Student Union, Caribbean Student Association, Chinese Student and Scholar Association, Filipino Cultural Association, Greek Heritage Society, Hillel, Iranian Student Union, Il Circolo (The Italian Club), Korean American Student Association, Latin American Student Organization, Pakistani Student Association, Saudi Student Club, South Asian Students Association, and Vietnamese Student Association.” He added that it would allow “students to celebrate their unique identities and to learn about their forefathers and their ancestral homelands.” WSU organizers [told](#) WBAL-TV that they would act as “an advocacy group, a source of pride and a safe haven for white students who have been discriminated against.”

When an ADL [report](#) characterized Heimbach and his proposed group as “white supremacists,” Heimbach fired back in kind, encouraging readers to research “the history of the ADL, which was founded after Southerners killed a convicted child-rapist — named Leo Frank — who happened to be Jewish.” (Actually, most

## Donate

> Support SPLC

## Feeds

> Hatewatch

> Hatewatch Headlines

> SPLC News

## Blogroll

> AlterNet

> Americablog

> Anti-Neo-Confederate

> Bad Guys

> Balloon Juice

> Center for American Progress

> Center for New Community

> Center for the Study of Hate and Extremism

> Citizen Orange

> Colorado Media Matters

> Commentary by David A. Love

> Crooks and Liars

> Daily Kos

> Damn Mexicans

> Democracy Now

> Dispatches from the Culture Wars

> Ending Racism

> Eschaton

> Fairness and Accuracy in Reporting

> Feathered Bastard

> Firedoglake

> Fitness for the Occasion

> Holy Bullies and Headless Monsters

> Imagine 2050

> Immigration Impact

> Jesus’ General

> Media Matters

> Newshounds

> Orcinus

> Pam’s House Blend

> Pharynqa

historians believe Frank was innocent of the charge for which he was lynched.)

As aggrieved as Heimbach pretends to be at the ADL's criticism, the links on the [WSU](#) website make it abundantly clear that his agenda has become militantly racist. They include Taylor's racist journal *American Renaissance*; the *American Third Position*, a white supremacist political party; the *Council of Conservative Citizens*; Counter Currents Publishing, the publishing arm of the "New Right," whose goal is to "make white racial consciousness and self-assertion hegemonic"; the virulently anti-Islamic English Defense League; Jobbik, a neo-fascist Hungarian political party; the *League of the South*, a racist neo-secessionist group; *Occidental Dissent*, a white nationalist publication that claims we are living through the historical epoch of "Black Run America," whose policy is "Jim Crow in Reverse"; Ram Z. Paul, an Internet radio show that mocks "cultural Marxism"; *The Occidental Quarterly*, another racist journal; "The Political Cesspool," a white supremacist radio show based in Tennessee; the anti-immigrant UK Independence Party, and the white separatist Voice of Reason Radio network. The WSU's recommended readings include Francis Parker Yockey's neo-Nazi classic *Imperium*, as well as Sam Francis's *Essential Writings on Race*, Pat Buchanan's *Suicide of a Superpower: Will America Survive Until 2025?* and Jared Taylor's newest book *White Identity*.

The Yale-educated [Taylor](#), founder of the New Century Foundation and publisher of *American Renaissance* magazine, poses as a thought leader whose contribution to American discourse is "racial realism"—which upon closer examination turns out to be racism dressed up in academic clothing. Marshaling an array of pseudoscientific "evidence," Taylor maintains that since human beings prefer to be with their own kinds, the races should live separately; that "there is a substantial genetic contribution to well-established racial differences in average IQ"; and that "whites have legitimate group interests just like everyone else."

Heimbach invited Taylor to speak at the White Student Union's first meeting, supposedly as a way to test the waters and see how much interest there is in the Towson community for such a group (though one might reasonably suspect that Heimbach and Taylor's real purpose is to stir up as much controversy and attention for themselves as they can). Since the WSU is not formally sanctioned, Towson required it to pay for the rental of the meeting room and extra police protection. Deploring that "unconstitutional assault on free speech and assembly," *American Renaissance* blogger Henry Wolff issued a call for tax-deductible donations to defray the costs. The funds were raised, the check was delivered to the university, and the event will go off on schedule, at 8:30 tomorrow evening.

"I could not think of a better way to begin the school year than by hosting a speech by [Jared Taylor]," Heimbach said about his upcoming event. "The students of Towson will finally be afforded the opportunity to learn something other than the cultural Marxism that is espoused by their professors."

- > Religious Right Watch
- > Right Wing Watch
- > Talk to Action
- > Talking Points Memo
- > Temple of Democracy
- > The Conspiracy Mirror
- > The Defenders Online
- > Think Progress
- > Wonkette

118 Responses to  
'Student Group at Towson University to Host White Nationalist  
Tomorrow'

Subscribe to comments with [RSS](#)

Sam Molloy said,

ON OCTOBER 1ST, 2012 AT 11:19 AM

As creepy as this is, I don't see how it could be stopped except by stopping official school support of all cultural groups. If the purpose of sanctioning these groups is to monitor, guide them to positive themes and nip extremism in the bud, possibly it should be allowed.

Matthew Bright said,

ON OCTOBER 1ST, 2012 AT 11:58 AM

Seems this kid's craziness is progressively getting worse. In fact, it rather reminds me of Jack Nicholson's character in "The Shining."

I think there's a cot with his name on it at the ADX Supermax in Florance, Arizona, where ghosts of crackpots past are whispering in his ear saying to him, "You've always been here. You've always been here."

Ezra\_mead said,

ON OCTOBER 1ST, 2012 AT 12:06 PM

I'm still searching for my "white priviledge". I haven't yet discovered how I, as a white American, have any. I'm not eligible for Affirmative Action, quotas, minority business set asides, or similar programs, nor could I sue on the basis of "Disparate Impact". I'm not protected by "Hate Crime" legislation. No one has taught me the secret handshake.

Basically what this article says is every other group is allowed to organize, except whites. There are numerous black and hispanic student groups. When whites try to organize however, it's called 'hate'.

The author has an apparent Jewish name. Israel is a segregationist state. They're building walls against the Palestinians. Yet this Jewish author only criticizes whites in western nations. He never turns it around and criticizes Israel.

I say we forget about them and go ahead and form this student organization. I say we as white Americans don't need their permission to organize. We just do it. It doesn't matter what they call us, it's all lies.

DA Gray said,

ON OCTOBER 1ST, 2012 AT 12:17 PM

The difference between the idea that a white student union and a black student union is there is no heritage in 'white.' there is a heritage with African-American students. If a man or woman seeks to embrace German-American heritage, Irish-American heritage, Italian-American heritage or anything else, the groups exist, with scholarships, opportunities, etc. Being specific is the difference between being positive about one's own heritage and hateful about someone else's. I think that's the dividing line in deciding to not sanction a group organized around hate.

CoralSea said,

ON OCTOBER 1ST, 2012 AT 12:31 PM

Well – when they are right, they're right. Jared Taylor says that people prefer to be "with their own kind;" I totally agree. I prefer to be with people who are intelligent and compassionate – not the bozos who agree with HIS thoughts (and race doesn't enter into it).

And Heimbach is right, too, in claiming that the South during the Confederacy (and I will qualify this as "some in the South during the Confederacy") were just like the Teabaggers to today – crazy and wrongheaded.

Honestly – is this what happens to young men when they can't get a date?

Also, I happen to agree with the town in regard to any Civil War monuments – that they should commemorate the dead on both sides, who were swept up in a war of near inevitability. Like Heimbach, I had ancestors on "both sides," and I feel for the folks who were caught up in that awful conflict, but am grateful that slavery was abolished (well, African-American slavery in the South) and that the Republic was preserved. As in most wars, the ones who cause them – in this case, the ones who were trying to preserve the status quo, an economy that exploited both African-American slaves and poor whites – often skate away, if not unscathed, at least with their lives (unlike many soldiers).

Unfortunately, the "plantation" mentality, aimed variously at African-Americans (by the League of the South types), or more broadly, at all Americans (or immigrants) who aren't multi-millionaires and are viewed simply as "exploitable resources" (think many of the investor class and corporate executives), continues to live on.

CM said,

ON OCTOBER 1ST, 2012 AT 12:46 PM

Despite the arguments of free-speech absolutists, nothing in the Constitution tells us we must allow vicious liars like Heimbach and Taylor to hijack our public spaces to spread their toxic falsehoods.

The facts: Freedom of speech/expression does not extend to harmful speech or writing of various kinds: incitement to riot or violence, "fire in a crowded theater," and with specific application to these white supremacist groups, slander and libel.

Now it's true that in the U.S., slander and libel - the speaking or writing of falsehoods that are damaging to another person's reputation - are applied legally only to the defamation of private individuals or specific corporate entities and not to ethnic or racial or religious groups. But it's precisely that kind of blanket defamation of minorities that constitutes "hate speech," which is prima facie harmful and deserves to be punished just as much as the equivalent kind of speech about individuals. And perhaps more, given the wide social harm it causes.

In that case, just as a newspaper, for example, can be held liable for giving space to someone who makes libellous statements, any institution that provides a platform for hate speech should also be held accountable.

As for the content of what the racists want to say, it has all been said before and hasn't really changed since it was first articulated by Gobineau more than 150 years ago. It was false and evil then, it still is, and no one should be obligated to provide a forum for its continued repetition - even if someone like Heimbach or the troll Ezra/Jason/Zang et al. wants to cloak it under the veil of "culture" or "heritage."

Sam Molloy said,

ON OCTOBER 1ST, 2012 AT 12:49 PM

Ezra, we need more information. If these groups all focus on blaming their problems on Whites, a minority on this planet, or Jews, an even smaller minority, they need to be re focused on finding positive solutions. Just starting one more divisive group would not help anybody. If they are monitored to only discuss things that have worked well for them, and ways to expand on those concepts, without criticizing any other group, then all groups should be allowed.

Arthur Goldwag said,

ON OCTOBER 1ST, 2012 AT 1:00 PM

Ezra Mead has no idea if I am a Zionist, nor does he know what my stance on the "formation of the group" at Towson is. All rhetoric aside, the university hasn't quashed the group. Before a student organization can even be considered for approval by Towson's Student Government, it must have a faculty adviser. Thus far, no one has volunteered.

It's worth pointing out that Freedom of Speech, Freedom From Criticism, and the Right to Book a Campus Facility for Free are not the same things.

Erika said,

ON OCTOBER 1ST, 2012 AT 1:17 PM

Ezra, if you are in college, try Greek Row. If out of college, try the local country club. If you are unable to get past the front door or gate than sorry, you are not a privileged white.

See honey, white privilege is real and is based upon social class. White supremacy is merely a tool the privileged whites use to divide poor people of different races and ethnicities when the real struggle is and always has been class.

That is why the Youth for Western Civilization (opening this Friday for Skrewdriver at the White Student Union) is more right than he'll ever know in saying that some in the Confederacy were like the modern day Tea Party. The Tea Party today are dupes fooled using racial and ethnic prejudice into carrying the water for a few ultra elite individuals. That almost exactly describes the bulk of the Confederate Army - until they had to introduce conscription and then poor whites were being forced to fight someone else's battle. Why anyone wants to celebrate that is beyond me.

aadila said,

ON OCTOBER 1ST, 2012 AT 2:10 PM

An erosion of unfair privilege is not the same thing as an assault on the ethnic dignity of any given group.

CoralSea said,

ON OCTOBER 1ST, 2012 AT 2:52 PM

I still think that a lot of the angrily misdirected discontent of the likes of this young man, Jared Taylor, and others rests in their inability to put aside some

imagined (or well-deserved) slight.

I once did a lot of work on a groundwater issue with some militia/white supremacists and I ended up talking one-on-one with several of them. The "turning point" — at least the one they identified, I'm sure there were many other incidents and reasons — was always something like, "There was this pretty girl, but she always went out with black guys," or "I was supposed to get a scholarship for basketball, but they gave them all to black guys. It's not my fault they could shoot better than me!"

A lot of people are angry when times are difficult and society is changing-or threatening to change-around them, and as Erika said (and I said more obliquely), there are powerful people who are more than willing to manipulate and encourage them to lash out against others — often the less fortunate or others who need to be kept in their place. What is depressing is that the angry folks (Tea Partiers included) fail to recognize that the problems they face are economic — and frequently caused or at least exacerbated by the high-and-mighty who egg them on.

That, and a lot of the young guys can't get a date....

Reynardine said,

ON OCTOBER 1ST, 2012 AT 3:01 PM

Sawdust Ezra will never get it, because sawdust doesn't. However, I reiterate: I have belonged to a Russian club and a French club, and have both dined and performed in, not only the foregoing, but a Czech club (for which, by virtue of my Czech Silesian great-grandfather, I would have been eligible), two Polish clubs (who would probably have accepted me also on their premise that all Silesians are Polish), a Lithuanian club, and even, by special dispensation, a Ukrainian club once (they had an attitude about people affiliated with anything Russian, but we could play a good hopak). I could, had I cared to, have joined the German Club, but the food was heavy and some of the Germans were heavier. In Dade County, there was no Bulgarian Club, but the Russians were quite accepting. All these, I note, were European nationalities, and therefore predominantly "white". Any "white" person can find dozens of such clubs to associate with, as well as dozens of fraternities, sororities, and country clubs for white people who consider themselves too good to associate with either "ethnics" or 47%ers. Of course, the problem with the people who actually join those things is that they are haunted by the conviction that any club that will actually have them isn't good enough for them.

HW said,

ON OCTOBER 1ST, 2012 AT 3:12 PM

Re: Ezra

There is no such thing as race. Race is a social construct. Except when race is used by the government in affirmative action or by corporations to promote "diversity" or to ferret out "disparate impact" upon minorities.

There is no such thing as being "White" either. Except when you should feel a collective sense of guilt over various crimes committed by "White people,"

Oh, and black people in our society are crippled by "white privilege," which is maintained by guilt-ridden, non-existent "White" people.

Randall said,

ON OCTOBER 1ST, 2012 AT 3:31 PM

The difference in principle between a black and white student union is what?

aadila said,

ON OCTOBER 1ST, 2012 AT 4:56 PM

Randall, I think it has to do with a history of slavery in America and its sequelae through the present day. Since whites were the beneficiaries of slavery and its economic and social advantages vis-a-vis racial status, establishment of "white only" clubs is highly emblematic of historical racism and segregation era practices, whereas black student unions seek to confront this bitter history through collective awareness and positive social change. Thank you, please come again.

Ezra\_mead said,

ON OCTOBER 1ST, 2012 AT 5:02 PM

HW, Reynardine, Erika, Sam, Sam, Coral Sea, DA, Arthur Goldwag,

The answer is simple. If you don't like our organization, don't join. You go off and form your own organization. Don't come to our event. We don't need you. That's the answer.

I will be at the talk Jared Taylor is giving in the audience. If you have some kind of 'problem' with it, then just come. Go away. We don't need you. That's the answer. You go off and start your own organization

and host your own event.

Reynardine said,

ON OCTOBER 1ST, 2012 AT 5:07 PM

I see Hunter's Phallus is back. Dammit, boy, why don't you go to an endocrinologist instead of bugging us with your little mosquito whine? (Yes, quite a few of us know what you look like.)

CLAR said,

ON OCTOBER 1ST, 2012 AT 5:29 PM

hilarious that these multimillion dollar organizations have the time to bully a college kid who hasn't done anything illegal or said anything actually hateful.

amazing that whites are so privileged that hate organizations like the splc and adl can swoop down on them to stop them from having a silly club, all with the support of the national media. if only we could get the perpetually aggrieved designated victim groups some of that white privilege.

i heard some kids in the neighborhood saying "no girls allowed!" in their clubhouse. you guys should probably go investigate those dirty misogynists. they sound like haters.

Aron said,

ON OCTOBER 1ST, 2012 AT 5:35 PM

Randall, the fundamental difference is that Hispanics and blacks have never attempted to oppress whites.

Also, I'm kind of glad I got out of Montgomery County when I did.

I don't know how I would have handled Heimbach had I passed him on the street.

Supersonic250 said,

ON OCTOBER 1ST, 2012 AT 5:43 PM

AGAIN, I have to thank my parents for not sending me to Towson University... because it was a REAL possibility. Good god, the idea of going to a school with this moron scares the living heck out of me. And how has he not been expelled? My college has no-tolerance policies for this type of stuff.

...Because Free Speech doesn't apply. Colleges are private institutions, even community college. They have the right to restrict free speech if they so choose. They have the right to refuse to let them form this organization and keep racists from attending.

Reynardine said,

ON OCTOBER 1ST, 2012 AT 5:47 PM

Randall, our student union was neither. It was this chalky bleached out green, technically called "mission white", that was supposed to be easy on the eyes under the tropical sun. But it was green, not white. A lot of student unions are brick.

lthink said,

ON OCTOBER 1ST, 2012 AT 6:15 PM

[Ezra\_mead said,

ON OCTOBER 1ST, 2012 AT 12:06 PM

I'm still searching for my "white priviledge". I haven't yet discovered how I, as a white American, have any. I'm not eligible for Affirmative Action, quotas, minority business set asides, or similar programs, nor could I sue on the basis of "Disparate Impact". I'm not protected by "Hate Crime" legislation. No one has taught me the secret handshake.

Basically what this article says is every other group is allowed to organize, except whites. There are numerous black and hispanic student groups. When whites try to organize however, it's called 'hate'.

The author has an apparent Jewish name. Israel is a segregationist state. They're building walls against the Palestinians. Yet this Jewish author only criticizes whites in western nations. He never turns it around and criticizes Israel.

I say we forget about them and go ahead and form this student organization. I say we as white Americans don't need their permission to organize. We just do it. It doesn't matter what they call us, it's all lies.]

-Maybe you can't find your so-called 'white' privilege because the lily worldview (pun intended) you're clearly espousing here has bleached any remains of cognitive dissonance or interpersonal empathy that your cream de la creme of parents didn't pass on or teach you in your younger days.

Have you ever been stopped by cops for walking or running down a sidewalk in a neighborhood you either weren't familiar with or didn't live in? Have you ever been told or had your hair debased because it was so much shorter or differently styled than those who you considered 'normal'? Have you ever had anyone tell you could only go so far in life or certainly have sub-par morality and intelligence because you were white? Most

importantly, have you ever been denied or said were undeserving for any high-ranking job position, school acceptance, award or other general recognition simply because you were a minority, and only affirmative action could result in your short and long term successes?

Didn't think so troll; please save your tired and reductive ZOG New World Order s\*\*\* talk for the Stormfront echo chambers; you've got no case or following here as the sideshow victim super freak your so-transparently portraying. Jews, aside from some significant political and religious considerations, have been considered 'White' both in terms of mainstream race and class status since the end of World War 2 and their Civil Rights activism in the 1950s and 60s, unless of course they are part of a small yet growing percentage that are bi-racial or choose to opt out of the Judaism for whatever personal reasons. The only thing that has all the closet gentile white supremacists with their tight-whities in a bunch is how the hey can such a small percentage of the world's population be the collectors of nobel prizes and societal dominance in hollywood, media and such? Maybe the so-called master race isn't all that naturally gifted after all and rely more on the talents and exploitation of others to attain wealth and success...?

Don't call that a comeback btw, just a third-party observation from someone who knows you'll continue doing whatever the hell it is you want to do to feel make yourselves feel special and relevant in a time when so many obtuse-minded people like yourself are finally having the nirvana that the sun, world or universe doesn't (and shouldn't) revolve around you because of a melanin deficiency. You should actually have to put some, you know, EFFORT and legitimate faith towards good will to become someone worthwhile. Save the wah- mbulance screed for your the next meet at your local KKK, I heard they finally have 'em active in all 50 states again:)...

Ithink said,

ON OCTOBER 1ST, 2012 AT 6:22 PM

[Randall said,

ON OCTOBER 1ST, 2012 AT 3:31 PM

The difference in principle between a black and white student union is what?]

The former's purpose would be empowering and promoting pride and self-worth in advancing the legacy of civil rights and institutional oppression faced by the namesake group both on campus and in life beyond for the bettering of society and the individual; the latter has to do with a butt-ugly status quo defense mechanism intended to further divide and ignite violent or at least sentimental racial intolerance masquerading as mere 'pride' or 'civil rights activism'....

Thanks for trying to play the brain-dead Whack-a-Troll for the day, but your lack of cognitive dissonance is neither insightful or conclusive. That a goodly enuff explained principle for ya'?

Kiwiwriter said,

ON OCTOBER 1ST, 2012 AT 6:29 PM

Annie/Jason/Ezra lives in a nice little house, right next to reality.

I gotta tell you, though, this is a lot funnier than Bungalow Boob...he hasn't shown up lately. I miss him.

So Annie/Jason/Ezra, what happened with those profiles you were building of all the members of this forum? When are you going to create that web page with your worldview and your biting, incisive, well-researched analysis?

Anyway, here's my stock response to Annie/Jason/Ezra, just so that I can be "as constant as the Northern Star:"

Annie/Jason Smith/Ezra Mead is back again!

Complete with revolving sex changes...here strictly to derail the discussion!

So, Annie/Jason/Ezra Mead...do tell us how the Holocaust never happened!

Then tell us how the Russian people greeted their Nazi invaders with flowers!

Then tell us how Norman Rockwell sided with Hitler!

Then show us your proof that the white man is superior to the black man!

And tell us again how you'll prove it to us, only if we pay you vast sums of money, showing that you're into neo-Nazism and junior Fascism for that noblest of purposes... the money!

Then you can give us citations and evidence for all these wacky ideas!

Until then, the tumbrels await for you!

See? I don't even have to think in dealing with him/her/it...I just cut-and-paste my own stuff!

holocaust survivor 47 said,

ON OCTOBER 1ST, 2012 AT 6:55 PM

CM, In your OPINION Taylor, Heimbach et al are "racists".

You are only saying that because they are White.  
Anti-racist is a code word for anti-White.

In your OPINION their speech is "hateful" and they are "vicious liars".

You are only saying that because they are White.  
Anti-racist is a code word for anti-White.

In your OPINION what they are saying is just as "hateful" as what Gobineau said 150 years ago. So in your OPINION they and all other "racists" shouldn't be allowed to speak at all.

You are only saying that because they are White.  
Anti-racist is a code word for anti-White.

You would allow Whites no group defense, allow them no country or homeland of their own. You seek to have their living spaces flooded with millions of non Whites with whom you force them to integrate with, with the goal of "assimilation", a.k.a. intermarry. It's White genocide which you support.

You say they are anti-racist but what they are is anti-White.  
Anti-racist is a code word for anti-White.

HW said,

ON OCTOBER 1ST, 2012 AT 8:21 PM

Matthew is getting uppity at Towson.

He is violating BRA's racial etiquette. He doesn't know his place. This is causing great distress for liberals who don't believe that White people should have ANY seat on the bus ... not even a separate one, I mean at the university.

Tom said,

ON OCTOBER 1ST, 2012 AT 9:55 PM

Why are Chinese and Africans allowed ethnic groups on public and private universities but not Europeans?

Oh yea, in part, because of the disproportionate amount of influence the Jews have over "higher education".

Sam Molloy said,

ON OCTOBER 1ST, 2012 AT 11:35 PM

This is another example of the SPLC reporting a story I

would not have heard about. Thank you. I never heard of this school however and I would be interested to know if it has a political spin either way, or if they only teach technical talents. Do they push one economic theory over another or honestly describe the good and bad points of each, letting students make up their own mind? I'm not one to be told it is my fault if someone living in a mudhole with no job on the other side of the planet has ten kids, but I don't think I'd want these clowns speaking for me, either.

James Hallman said,

ON OCTOBER 2ND, 2012 AT 1:48 AM

The 'American Third Position' is not a White Supremacist political party. The party is open to all races.

Ruslan Amirkhanov said,

ON OCTOBER 2ND, 2012 AT 2:02 AM

"There is no such thing as race. Race is a social construct. Except when race is used by the government in affirmative action or by corporations to promote "diversity" or to ferret out "disparate impact" upon minorities."

Just because something is a social construct doesn't mean that it has no impact on society. As for affirmative action, were you aware that many white students have lower qualifications yet get spaces at universities due to "legacies", athletic scholarships, and other schemes which tend to benefit upper-class white families?

The reason for affirmative action really has little to do with race per se. Affirmative action was used in countries where the main nationalities (the majority and minorities) were what you would call "white", e.g. in Ukraine and Belarus.

"There is no such thing as being "White" either. Except when you should feel a collective sense of guilt over various crimes committed by "White peoplel,"

Who is telling you to feel guilty for those crimes?

"Oh, and black people in our society are crippled by "white privilege," which is maintained by guilt-ridden, non-existent "White" people."

White privilege is a proven fact. The very fact that you are oblivious to it is partially proof itself. Privileged people don't need to think about privilege. Basically people don't understand white privilege because when they hear that word, it sounds like white people just receive free stuff for being white. It does not mean that

at all. What it means is that all things being equal, the white person will most likely get the job, not get arrested, get the space at a university, and so on. Again, 'MOST LIKELY,' but there are plenty of studies to prove this. If employers are really chomping at the bit to hire non-white workers, you wouldn't see that black unemployment, to use one indicator, is almost always higher than that of whites.

Many white people can't take advantage of white privilege because the most important privilege is of course class, and if a white person lives in a mostly white area then class is going to trump white skin definitely. But there are still privileges which aren't easy to see. For example, when whites express anger it's often seen as being passionate, sincere, and legitimately upset. When blacks, especially black males are angry about something, they are portrayed as out of control, aggressive, and the media often feigns ignorance about what these black folks could possibly be so angry about. A white guy who curses and sometimes breaks the law is looked at as a rebel, whereas a black male doing the same is a 'thug'. Bad behavior by whites is usually blamed on the individuals in question, whereas bad behavior by blacks is seen as a serious problem of the whole black community. I could go on for hours but that's the basic primer on white privilege.

You probably still don't believe me, but the fact is you already have the mindset that makes white privilege possible. Just imagine you're an HR manager who has to choose between a black and white candidate. Am I supposed to believe that you'd objectively look at their qualifications and base your decision solely on that? Do you think we just fell off the turnip truck?

Rowell Rogers said,

ON OCTOBER 2ND, 2012 AT 4:16 AM

The difference between a black student union and a white student union is context and intent. Black student unions tend to celebrate positives both cultural and individual. The time will come when "white" student unions will be able to do the same. "Whites" will eventually be just another minority, though the use of the blanket term "whites" is a problem in itself. But in the context of today as illumined by history, and given their emphasis on white supremacy, white student unions currently and inevitably have a context of hate and a clear intent of hate.

fnn said,

ON OCTOBER 2ND, 2012 AT 5:44 AM

You have to be very stupid not to realize that European-Americans are \*not\* French, Polish, Russian or Bulgarian.

The vast majority of European-Americans are of mixed European ancestry and have little or no knowledge of the cultures and languages of their ancestral homelands. If they speak a second language that language is far more likely to be Spanish than French, Russian, Polish or Lithuanian because of the conditions in the country they live in.

This denial of peoplehood to European-Americans is a lot like the Zionist ultras' denial of peoplehood to Palestinians.

Erika said,

ON OCTOBER 2ND, 2012 AT 5:49 AM

Randall, the difference is one of numbers and history. The numbers are pretty simple - most colleges have a majority - oftentimes a vast majority of white students.

Then there is history - black student groups arose to provide a social network for an excluded minority. That is to say that because black students were excluded from social, professional, and mutual support groups - and indeed in large portions of this country colleges as a whole - they had to start their own. Even today many black professionals are members of both the majority white professional society (e.g. the American Bar Association) and the historically black one (the National Bar Association i believe) because the historically black groups continue to play a support role because blacks continue to be a minority in society and within the professions.

Thus lacking the historic exclusion and having the ability to join existing groups and not having to create their own a White Student Union is grossly redundant.

But if you really want to join some overwhelmingly or all white group Randall, try the College Republicans or join a frat.

Erika said,

ON OCTOBER 2ND, 2012 AT 6:01 AM

CoralSea, i suspect that while neither side would be happy about this that a large portion of the membership in the white supremacist gangs is like any other gang. Quite simply they came from a poverty filled environment where there was no or minimal support, were extremely isolated, often subject to horrific physical and sexual abuse, and were looking for some sort of family even an abusive one which makes them especially vulnerable to become criminals. The background of being isolated also tends to make a person angry and seeking to blame someone.

Thus while the rural white supremacists would never admit it they actually have way more in common with the inner city street gangs than they do with wealthy and middle class whites. Both were dealt a raw hand by society to be born into an environment of poverty and displaced families, both develop anger at society, and both turn to find acceptance anywhere they can find it.

So i would really expand upon the "lots of young men who can't get a date" to say "were desperate for any sort of love" because while no doubt not being able to get dates played a role the emotional damage was no doubt done long before they entered high school.

Erika said,

ON OCTOBER 2ND, 2012 AT 6:19 AM

CoralSea, i do not mean to disagree with your assessment that this guy can't get dates because he sounds like a total loser. But it sounds like there is a more deeper need for attention which leads him to go beyond just being an especially annoying College Republican. Instead what better way to fulfill a pathological need for attention in the modern college environment especially in a northern liberal state like Maryland than to fly the Confederate flag and pal around with Neo-Nazis and White Supremacists. Joining the White Citizens Counsel (or Counsel of Conservative Citizens or whatever they call themselves now) is not exactly going to impress young women or potential employers but it does assure he will get some sort of attention. Same with showing up dressed in a Confederate Soldier halloween costume at a city counsel meeting.

This pathological need for attention means that this guy is quite possibly another David Duke who as you may have seen one of the most famous images of him was when he was a college student at LSU. This image (which was actually taken at Tulane) shows David Duke marching around wearing what essentially amounts to a Nazi halloween costume holding a sign saying that brilliant attorney William Kunstler is a Communist Jew. Why - because at LSU in the 1960s simply being a neo-Confederate Klansman wouldn't get you attention - but being a Nazi that would definitely get attention. Needless to say David Duke has never gotten past his pathological need for attention. But the parallels are rather similar. Its probably only a matter of time before this guy learns that even the Confederate Flag and racism won't get him attention any more and goes the full Nazi on us.

jason hall said,

ON OCTOBER 2ND, 2012 AT 8:30 AM

These black supremacist groups need to be crushed fist of all. There is no such thing as black culture or black identity. Black people come from many countries and cultures, just as white people do. Neither do black groups have anything to be proud of, their history is full of genocide, homophobia and sexism. The fact that any groups can be proud except whites is racist in itself. When will we finally crack down on black supremacy and send these evil bigots to jail for speech crimes?

CoralSea said,

ON OCTOBER 2ND, 2012 AT 9:10 AM

Erika – I agree with you on the desire to seek out “family” on the part of many gang members, white supremacists, and others. It is a natural human desire, and it can be positive or negative in its outcomes.

This is why pervasive anger, inequality, bigotry, limited educational resources, and the like breed unhealthy society, e.g., gangs, extremist groups, businesses that think nothing of exploiting others, bullies, etc.

Conservatives often complain about the liberal desire to “throw money at the problem.” But in fact, kids DO need places to go that don’t involve gangs or where they are relegated to roles as “spectators only” (such as schools where if you are on the football team, you are a hero, but everyone else is basically a zero because all of the money goes into the football team, and there is nothing left over for “the regular kids”).

Having worked in gang-infested areas, I can definitely say that the residents view “after school activities” as a lifeline to try to save as many kids (and keep a lid on the violence) as possible. And you are right – the white supremacists with whom I’ve had long conversations definitely speak of “their brothers” as family, and the hold tight to each other. The sad part is that they possess a worldview that tells them they are under seige or soon to be under seige, and leaves them looking for enemies.

When we do better for all kids, society as a whole reaps the rewards, and rather than looking at other groups and feeling bitter about what they have, people are more likely to concentrate on the possibilities for themselves to enjoy satisfying lives. This may sound goody-goody, but it’s true.

Reynardine said,

ON OCTOBER 2ND, 2012 AT 9:32 AM

Writing as fnn, Sawdusthead claimed there is a separate

"white identity" not covered by European nationalities, because we are mixed. I clearly stated I am mixed, which gave me a variety of organizations I could have hung with. Then, writing as Jason Smith, Sawdusthead said that there is no such thing as black cultural identity because backs are mixed, and from there it descended into pure rabid wharrgarble, as Sawdusthead's last brain cell was eaten by rabies viruses.

The truth is that Americans have an American cultural identity, but this culture is not equal for all Americans. Most black Americans share a background of slavery, and if you do not think being a slave is a cultural factor, I have some work you can do around here, twelve hours a day, no days off, free meals of mush, beans, and peanuts, you sleep in the washhouse, and when you give out, I'll sell you for junk.

Ithink said,

ON OCTOBER 2ND, 2012 AT 9:40 AM

[jason hall said,

ON OCTOBER 2ND, 2012 AT 8:30 AM

These black white supremacist groups need to be crushed fist of all. There is no such thing as black culture or black white culture or identity. Black people come from many countries and cultures, just as white people do. Neither do black white SUPREMACIST groups have anything to be proud of, their history is full of genocide, homophobia and sexism. The fact that any groups can be proud except whites is (not) racist in itself (because its not true except to the denizen trolls between my ears). When will we finally crack down on black supremacy and send these evil bigots to jail for speech crimes?]

There, fixed that for ya' bra'! Next time you want to go on a foaming @ the mouth rant about racial inferiority and white superiority make sure you spell FIRST correctly. Wouldn't want people thinking you were actually a wet match in a puddle within the darkest cave, as far as intelligence was concerned:)...

CM said,

ON OCTOBER 2ND, 2012 AT 9:42 AM

"Holocaust,"

"CM, In your OPINION Taylor, Heimbach et al are 'racists'. ... In your OPINION their speech is 'hateful' and they are 'vicious liars'. ... In your OPINION what they are saying is just as 'hateful' as what Gobineau said 150 years ago. So in your OPINION they and all other 'racists' shouldn't be allowed to speak at all."

Excellent example of fact- and logic-free discourse.

In the first place, Taylor and Heimbach stand convicted of racism based on their own statements, which have been well-documented by the SPLC, as you would know if you had bothered to do any fact-finding before venting thoughtlessly.

As far as Taylor is concerned, self-identifying as a “racial realist” is an exercise in begging the question: 1. Define a realist as someone who believes only real (i.e., true) things. 2. Claim to be a realist. 3. Therefore, everything you believe must be real (true), so no one should ask you for anything resembling proof.

What “racial realism” thus boils down to is the claim that it’s okay to repeat all the longstanding stereotypes because, hey, Jared says they’re true, therefore they’re true. Thus, racial realism is a code word for bigotry. But in actual fact, racial stereotypes are demonstrably false and obviously harmful, and repeating them is indeed hateful, and anyone who does repeat them is a vicious liar. And when someone is essentially continuing to espouse the same long-debunked ideas as Gobineau, they are revealing themselves not only as prejudiced but also as unable to think in a genuinely reasonable and scientific way.

I didn’t say that these bigoted a\*\*holes “shouldn’t be allowed to speak at all.” What I did say was that their false and defamatory speech should be treated as the slander it truly is, and they (and anyone who provides them a forum) should be held responsible in the same way I would be if, for example, I accused you falsely of being a child molester - i.e., subject to civil penalties for the harm my speech inflicted on your good name.

“You would allow Whites no group defense, allow them no country or homeland of their own. You seek to have their living spaces flooded with millions of non Whites with whom you force them to integrate with, with the goal of ‘assimilation’, a.k.a. intermarry. It’s White genocide which you support.”

Well, apparently you think “white” is a code word for “bigot,” because my remarks were addressed only to the problem of racist demagoguery. I have nothing against whites per se, however one defines the term; I’m even married to a person of Western European ancestry. But I have nothing against any other racial, ethnic, religious or cultural group, either, and I don’t feel alarmed by having people of any ethnicity living next door to me. And of course it would never occur to me (and I can’t imagine why you should think it would) to force anyone of any ethnicity to marry anyone at all.

I do think your claim that I support “white genocide” is rather far-fetched, considering that 1. “white” is a pretty dubious “genos” (Herodotus was the first person to provide criteria for defining a “genos,” and “white”

fails even by his ancient standards) and 2. as I just said, my criticism is directed toward bigots, not “whites.” But of course this “white genocide” theme is popular of late among bigots, so I guess it’s just sort of automatic for you to whip it out no matter what.

As for all those who are hollering about the unfairness of disallowing a “white student union” when other ethnic groups are allowed to have student unions, we all know this is blatantly bogus. What Heimbach is trying to set up is not a “white” student union, it’s a “white supremacyist” or “white nationalist” student union, and the invitation to Jared Taylor to help kick it off is sufficient proof that this is the case. I suppose you folks would see it as an infringement of someone’s civil rights to deny them permission to open a campus chapter of the NSDAP, too.

Finally, your choice of a screen name is nothing but despicable.

Randall said,

ON OCTOBER 2ND, 2012 AT 9:54 AM

Thanks to those who responded.

There are couple of major problems with these responses:

1) Essentially, you (most of you) are saying the difference in principle comes down to historic context re: blacks and whites. The problem with this objection is that ignores context! It’s 2013 not 1943. Among other differences between now and then, whites are projected to become a minority in the US soon. The data shows white children are already a minority of new births. There are many differences between now and in the past. If you want to look at context, OK, but I think it unreasonable to consider the not the entire context but only one part of it.

I would also argue the context that matters is the future context not the past. It’s not that the past is irrelevant or unimportant. But, we can’t return to the past. The future has yet to happen, and, in looking to the future, circumstances re: whites overall situation in society are going to be different than in the past or right now.

2) Most of you are imposing your own interpretation of Heimbach’s group on Heimbach’s group. You’re saying he intends this and that using your own words, not his. Why not just take him at his word he intends a Euro heritage group until there is actual evidence he intends something else?

He says he doesn’t have malicious intent. That’s good enough for me until I have a reason to believe otherwise.

Basically, you're using the fact that he is proposing a white group as evidence he is up to something nefarious when this isn't necessarily the case. Again, to draw a parallel with blacks, certain blacks have come together to form black bar associations and others the New Black Panther Party. A race/ethnic could be problematic depending on mission/intent, or it might not be. It would be absurd to rule out black groups because some blacks, a pretty small number, organized themselves into a hostile group (NBPP).

So Heimbach invited this guy Taylor? Who cares? When I was college, the black student union invited a black black-studies professor who believed some really nutty, pseudo scientific nonsense (whites are "ice" people). The nut gave his talk, the people who cared to be there listened, and that was the end of it.

None of you have given any evidence Heimbach has a malicious outlook toward other races/ethnic groups which I define as an outlook in line with the the NBPP or Wade Michael Page. The fact, again, that he wants a white group doesn't show maliciousness any more than a person wanting to form a black group would necessarily be malicious.

If he invited the equivalent of Wade Michael Page, you all would have a point, but he hasn't.

Reynardine said,

ON OCTOBER 2ND, 2012 AT 10:00 AM

Ezra-sawdust, enlarge your vocabulary. You know what "hypocrisy" is? When you barge into our space repeatedly and then tell us not to barge into yours, that is "hypocrisy".

Sam Molloy said,

ON OCTOBER 2ND, 2012 AT 10:19 AM

Ruslan, that was insightful and informative as always. I think a Black applicant is often handicapped by previous Black employees that had bad attendance records when times were better. I'd say a good HR person is a good judge of people, but his opinion can't be quantified with some number. Companies also run credit scores and often use them instead of the HR person's gut feeling. There is a new phenomenon with DNA mapping that supposedly makes all race classifications obsolete. Using it, I may be more like someone in Somalia than some white sports fan or predatory Wall Street type in my home town. If DNA mapping ever gets cheap, expect companies to try to make hiring decisions based on them.

Gregory said,

ON OCTOBER 2ND, 2012 AT 10:38 AM

Sam sez *"I think a Black applicant is often handicapped by previous Black employees that had bad attendance records when times were better."*

Stereotype much? Glass houses, and all.

Mitch Beales said,

ON OCTOBER 2ND, 2012 AT 10:44 AM

holocaust survivor 47 In your OPINION anti-racist is a code word for anti-White. In my OPINION you are a fool.

aadila said,

ON OCTOBER 2ND, 2012 AT 10:50 AM

Randall,

A few problems in your oversimplification of the issue.

Historical trends and factors do indeed exist into the present day. If you look at land ownership, as one example, you will see that the generational transfer of wealth among whites in America has been broadly favorable for this group. As a result of this economic status, there are a range of statistically evidenced markers such as educational opportunities and quality of education offered, basic nutrition, health care, environment, and other factors that have great influence on the development of individuals.

Therefore even though segregation is no longer practiced so openly, the historical trends and tendencies spawned by open segregation continue to impact society along broadly racial lines.

Secondly, you are making a false comparison to demographic percentage and social prestige. There are quite a few examples of prestige in demographic minority: one notable example being the Saudis and the Bedouins in the Kingdom. There is nothing to indicate that whites will lose their historical privilege simply due to dwindling numbers.

However, I don't doubt that there is a certain amount of anxiety among white Americans that as the demographic majority slips away, white people may start to be treated the same way whites have treated other racial groups for centuries. Don't you agree?

Erika said,

ON OCTOBER 2ND, 2012 AT 10:50 AM

Ezra honey, no one wants to get into your stupid club. Good luck having Annie trying to get into mine.

Sam, Towson is a public university located in a northern suburb of Baltimore. i believe its the second oldest public university in Maryland and as far as i know its basically your generic non-flagship public university. And for your "the First Amendment doesn't apply" people - you are most definitely wrong because it is a public university. Even private universities tend to be limited public forums thanks to accepting federal funds and a general commitment to academic freedom. Its only such completely private unaccredited bastions of fine education as Bob Jones University where the First Amendment doesn't apply.

Reynardine said,

ON OCTOBER 2ND, 2012 AT 11:04 AM

You know, without such meatheads showing up as we have seen today, we don't get enough sport.

Bob said,

ON OCTOBER 2ND, 2012 AT 1:17 PM

This poor schmuck is incapable of saving Western Civilization. He doesn't even know what it is.

Randall said,

ON OCTOBER 2ND, 2012 AT 1:25 PM

aadila,

Most of my white friends are indifferent to or overjoyed about white folks becoming a minority. I don't think there is a lot of anxiety, at least not among liberal whites (my circle).

Matthew Bright said,

ON OCTOBER 2ND, 2012 AT 1:32 PM

Dear Ezra — there are no occupations or industries in the US in which white people have been discriminated against in hiring. However, you most certainly are covered by hate crime legislation — nobody may

discriminate against you, or harm you on the basis of race, creed or color, of in your case, stupidity, hatred for your fellow man and a really disgusting propensity toward whining.

aadila said,

ON OCTOBER 2ND, 2012 AT 2:06 PM

Randall, sweetie, I'll believe you're a liberal when my vegan friends and I order a porterhouse steak.

Lew said,

ON OCTOBER 2ND, 2012 AT 2:57 PM

Where did I say I was one, genius? I said my friends are liberal. I self-describe as independent.

Lew said,

ON OCTOBER 2ND, 2012 AT 3:16 PM

Matthew Bright:

You are wrong. There is pervasive race discrimination against white folks in this country. White men, especially, are targeted by racial discrimination, including impoverished white males with no advantages in life. It happens all the time. Affirmative programs are longstanding example of bias against white folks. Usually, as in the case of university admissions, the bias is designed to make room for less qualified blacks, Hispanics or white women.

There is an affirmative action case in front of the Supreme Court right now. Affirmative action has been litigated since the 70s because there has been credible evidence these programs are discriminatory.

That kind of factually wrong and instantly discrediting statement – there is no bias against white folks – gives credibility to Heimbach.

HW said,

ON OCTOBER 2ND, 2012 AT 3:53 PM

Ruslan,

I've advised Matthew to formally invoke his "white privilege" at Towson to get the White Student Union. It will be interesting to see if "white privilege" is accepted by the anti-white liberal faculty at that location.

Reynardine said,

ON OCTOBER 2ND, 2012 AT 4:23 PM

Well, Lew, you have just admitted to being Randall. Why should we believe a damn thing else about you?

Poor whites are indeed discriminated against by wealthy whites, both the single-malt snorters and the white-wine dribblers. They are then told it's the fault of the evil minorities and (if male) uppity wimmin, who indeed are being accommodated in token numbers, promised stuff, paid less, and laid off first. Are the minorities and wimmin getting rich? With few exceptions, no. Who is? The single-malt snorters and the white-wine dribblers.

NB: White-wine dribblers were explained earlier. Single-malt snorters are their equivalent from the right hand, and at least back in the day, they used to wear authentic Scotty plaid blazers, frequently from clans to which they didn't belong, though when the Scottish tailors figured it out, they made them show credentials or else take Black Watch or Alpine or such.

CoralSea said,

ON OCTOBER 2ND, 2012 AT 5:01 PM

Lew — I agree with you that there is discrimination against poor whites — but it starts in the schools (as it does for poor minorities). The inequality in our country at this point is staggering, as is the incredible attack on public schools.

Class is a huge determinant in educational attainment and high income. It used to be that educational attainment was directly tied to high incomes and good jobs (although there was also racial, ethnic, and gender discrimination back then that limited the upward mobility of anyone who WASN'T a white male). But now with the economic crisis and the retreat from public education, discrimination is going to be affecting poor whites as it has other groups.

Lessened opportunities for white guys isn't the fault of minorities and women, however. It's the fault of the overwhelming white male elites who don't give a sh@t about anyone other than "their kind." We are all competing for pieces of the same pie, and while affirmative action may help some minority or female candidates get jobs, if you take a look at the upper echelons of corporate America, you will see that white men are still the best represented group by far.

I don't know you and I don't wish to slam you personally, but when I was first working in the corporate world in the early 1980s, it was still pretty much acceptable (or

at least it was common practice) to pay a woman less “because men supported families” and deny promotions to women “because they’re just going to leave and have babies” or because the bosses felt sorry for some family guy and promoted him over you — and then had you work many extra hours (without extra pay) to prop him up.

It sucked, but it was simply what we had to put up with—and even joking about it could draw swift rebukes from the boss men, because, after all, “we’re letting you work here.”

Then, after minority and women candidates began to make greater inroads (although we were still often referred to as “tokens”), all of these articles began appearing about white guys who were enraged because, you know, they had always been given promotions, and this year, some WOMEN or some BLACK GUY got it, and, you know, this was totally unfair because they EXPECTED that promotion.

In retrospect, this was part of the big reorganization that has come to fruition now — when defined pensions were replaced by 401Ks (that could be rendered worthless by Wall Street profit-taking), HMOs arose to “manage” our health, and the first of the endless downsizing sprees began. It was all new and it all sucked, but white men, who had been the greatest beneficiaries of the economic boom after World War II were suddenly aware that they may not AUTOMATICALLY receive what they expected to receive, and they reacted badly.

Well, some of them are still reacting badly. We all do when we lose something we believe should be ours. But my point is, if you are going to bitch, protest, demonstrate, or throw tantrums, direct them at the people who are actually making life difficult for you in the most fundamental of ways (meaning economically). An guess what? They aren’t the kids in the Black Student Union, or the girls/young women who are worried about losing access to birth control, or the Hispanic guy who is working seven days a week to keep his family from starving — it’s the rich white dudes who are busting unions, complaining about their taxes (even though they are at a record low), undermining public schools while investing in crappy “on-line learning” academies and all the while complaining about how stupid American kids are.

If Heimbech wants to make a difference and help his “race,” get him to focus on economic injustices that are hurting everyone. Then he should encourage the hell out of white kids (particularly white boys) to study hard and help build a future that will benefit us all.

aadila said,

ON OCTOBER 2ND, 2012 AT 5:52 PM

Lew, you adorable little bon-bon, I also self describe as independent. Independent refers to party affiliation. Liberal and conservative refer to political inclination... and my guess is you tend toward the radical right.

This is evidenced by your statements.

Now, regarding the idea that there is no bias against white folks, no one in their right mind is suggesting that. If you were more subtle in your scan of M. Bright's comments, you would perceive that he never said that. So your straw man has been set ablaze, dearie.

What we are talking about, perhaps the only meaningful thing we can talk about in terms of polity and racial exclusion, is whether or not at an \_institutional\_ level does a particular group suffer bias.

So, there is nothing necessarily racist about two white people choosing to get married (even though both may indeed be racists). But if their Christian Identity church refuses to minister to or marry other races, then that becomes racism at the institutional level.

So really when we talk about reducing racism, in terms of polity, we are talking about ways to limit the harm. Affirmative programs seek to address the effects of racism, sexism, classism or any other form of unfair social exclusion.

But I don't think anyone is seriously suggesting that we will eradicate notions of racial superiority from the human mind through affirmative action.

And I think it would be terribly difficult to argue that white people, particularly white males, have been subject to the kind of institutional racism in America experienced by many other groups. Nor can you reasonably deny, given measurable social indicators along racial and gender lines, that white male privilege still exists.

Mitt Romney embodies it.

Reno said,

ON OCTOBER 2ND, 2012 AT 7:44 PM

"Student Group at Towson University to Host White Nationalist"

The SPLC (Southern Poverty Law Center) is an anti-White racist organization.

The Towson White Student Union is hosting a race realist who simply states the truth when it comes to race and the double standards against Whites.

Too bad the U.S. government, media, and many Universities have conditioned people into believing anything labeled "White" means racist. That in itself is racist. Pull your heads out of your culos, you anti-White bigots. Or simply stay in your racist closets.

CM said,

ON OCTOBER 2ND, 2012 AT 7:49 PM

I'm going to reiterate a point that seems to have gotten buried in my admittedly verbose response to the reprehensible "Holocaust Survivor 47": What Heimbach is proposing is not a "white student union," it is a white supremacist club. If he were really interested in organizing something for all "whites," he would kick it off with a presentation on, say, the evolution of the cucumber sandwich or a seminar on the V-neck sweater, not a speech by a notorious white supremacist.

Anyone who wants to argue that Heimbach has a Constitutional right to demand official recognition of a hate group on campus should also - if they have any qualms about committing blatant hypocrisy - be happy to demand similar recognition of a campus chapter of the New Black Panther Party or al-Qaeda.

whitey is fed up said,

ON OCTOBER 2ND, 2012 AT 8:06 PM

Coral Sea, Your reference to all Tea Party members as "tea baggers" is typical marxist name calling. For your unenlightened information "tea bagger" is a vulgar homosexual shorthand for describing the act of sucking on testicles...

I bet you would have a problem if any identifiable group; IE, liberals, mexicans, jews, blacks, new black panthers Etc. were referred to as "sack suckers", "nut lickers", "turd pounders" Etc... That would be Hate Speech wouldn't it ????

Your hypocrisy and logical disconnect betrays the typical marxist mindset of hatred and intolerance... The marxists have at least 150 million murders in the last 100 years to explain away. Bolshevic revolution, Holodomer, Polish Massacres, Gulags, starvation, forced labor, reeducation camps, Chinese revolution, Pol Pot and dozens of other examples. Sadistic murder of dissenters is a marxist speciality. When they have no further use for their "useful idiots" they are liquudated too... Your type are the useful idiots... Sad, very sad...

CoralSea said,

ON OCTOBER 2ND, 2012 AT 8:13 PM

Reynardine -

Evil minorities and uppity wimmin – where do I sign up? Sounds like it would be a vibrant, creative, and exciting community! The cool white men who I know who are non-crazy (unlike a few of the commenters who periodically visit this site) are free to come, too. No doubt they have contributions to make – as will everyone else!

Jane Schiff said,

ON OCTOBER 2ND, 2012 AT 10:51 PM

Just found something on:

First Event

Posted by Matthew Heimbach on September 24, 2012

Towson White Student Union to Host Jared Taylor  
Speaker to discuss “The Case for White Identity.

<http://involved.towson.edu/org....ails/15947>

by Matthew Heimbach re: his promo of  
Jared Taylor - “The students of Towson will finally be afforded the opportunity to learn something other than the cultural Marxism that is espoused by their professors.”

I can't help but wonder how and why Matthew Heimbach stuck it out this long at Towson given he's a senior.

Erika said,

ON OCTOBER 3RD, 2012 AT 6:11 AM

Randall or Lew, it sounds like your main problem (besides being unable to keep your multiple identities separate) is that you simply cannot accept that you were beaten by a girl. Face it, sweetie, some girls are just smarter than you.

i'm going to tell you a little secret regarding those affirmative action cases - in every case that i have ever seen the person bringing the suit was a marginal applicant at best. Take the University of Michigan Law School suit - when i read the article about the white woman who brought that suit my reaction wasn't “what an injustice she didn't get in.” It was “of course, she didn't get in - her LSAT score was way too low.” Her LSAT score was about 10 points less than the average student - and if you don't know that the LSAT is scored

on a bell curve on a 120 to 180 range so that is a huge difference - at the University of Michigan. In other words, even without the affirmative action program she probably would have not gotten in.

Many other affirmative action cases are similar - they tend to be brought by people whose applications likely would have been rejected even without affirmative action. In other words, affirmative action is a scapegoat by people who simply did not meet admissions standards. In some cases, given the fact that many people have long ties to right wing groups you may well wonder if they only applied solely to be able to sue (this is especially true in the law school admissions cases since anyone who has applied to law school since its introduction knows that an admission odds calculator is a feature of the common application program they require you to use).

Erika said,

ON OCTOBER 3RD, 2012 AT 6:45 AM

HS47, y'all are always claiming that white women are going to be forced to marry black men but never provide any details. As a white woman, i naturally have questions and need more details so i can best prepare.

First, will i get to choose the black man that i will have to marry? Because choice is very important to me and i simply do not want to be stuck married to a loser. On a related line, will i be provided automatically with a list of suitable black male marriage prospects or do i have to search for suitable black men on my own? Or will i simply be assigned to a black man so i simply have to pray that i get a good one?

Second, if there is a choice provided to white women how will the most desirable marriage prospects be allocated? Will there be a lottery, an auction, a drawing, or will the most desirable black men be forced to marry thousands of white women?

Third, will the white women who are already married to white men have their marriages annulled? What about white women who are already married to black men? It seems pretty counterproductive to force someone to do what they are already doing voluntarily. And that also appears to provide the answer to the question of whether i should be searching for a suitable black man to marry on my own. The answer is obviously yes since that way i avoid the chance of being assigned to marry a loser.

Fourth, have you even bothered to look at the demographics issues involved? Based upon U.S. Census Bureau figures it appears that there are approximately 6 white women for every black man. Thus, these forced marriages appear to be required to be polygamous

marriages. That seems quite difficult. Especially given the choice issue where a particularly handsome and wealthy black man with a good personality might be forced to marry millions of white women which would make the goal of destroying the white race unobtainable given that impregnating millions of women is likely beyond the capabilities of one man.

Fifth, what about black women? If all of the black men are being forced to marry and impregnant several white women does that mean that multiple white men are going to be forced to marry each black woman? See, the demographic factors would be rather similar there - doesn't that seem grossly redundant to force a black woman to have multiple husbands? Or will black men still be able to marry black women as well as their numerous white wives? Again, that seems rather odd.

Sixth, how exactly are you going to define race? Will you use the old "one drop" rule as was used in several Southern states? Or will it be the more appearance and self identification rule. Given the fact that black men will be required to engage in polygamous relationships with numerous white women under your plan that means that there would be a large incentive for white men to pass as black in order to have multiple wives. Thus, white men would likely soon become voluntarily extinct. Especially if the "one drop" rule is used.

Seventh, it really seems like there is some real insecurity regarding "size" and "performance" on your part. Okay, that wasn't a question more an observation.

See i just get the impression that you have totally not thought your conspiracy theory through. Because if you would have you'd realize how totally and completely stupid it is.

Ruslan Amirkhanov said,

ON OCTOBER 3RD, 2012 AT 8:35 AM

Coral Sea, Your reference to all Tea Party members as "tea baggers" is typical marxist name calling."

How is it 'Marxist'? Was the name determined via the dialectical materialist method?

" For your unenlightened information "tea bagger" is a vulgar homosexual shorthand for describing the act of sucking on testicles..."

The Tea Partiers brought this term on themselves when they dubbed one of their first major protests as the Tea Bag Party(as they were throwing tea bags, not crates of tea, into the water). They should have used Google.

"Your hypocrisy and logical disconnect betrays the

typical marxist mindset of hatred and intolerance... The marxists have at least 150 million murders in the last 100 years to explain away."

No, actually they don't. There is simply no evidence for "150 million MURDERS," (even the highest number quoted was 100 million). The 100 million figure is based largely on terrible methodology, including counting people who were never born as deaths and blaming deaths due to underdevelopment and war solely on Communist governments. If we applied the same to non-Communist regimes, going back to the dawn of Communism, we'd end up with hundreds of millions and counting.

"Bolshevik revolution,"

Very few people actually died in the Bolshevik revolution. Most people died around this time due to the conditions wrought by the war, foreign interventio, and the Russian empire's historical backwardness.

"Holodomor,"

There was no "Holodomor", there was a famine in 1931-32 which occurred in an area well known for famine. Indeed it was exacerbated by the failings of the government, but the same can be said for any number of modern famines which aren't called "genocides" or "man-made." The government also engaged in massive relief efforts which, though they failed to avert the famine totally, still saved millions of lives.

"Polish Massacres,"

This cannot be denied but even so this only gives you about 22,000-24,000 deaths- far short of the figure you quoted. 40,000-60,000 Red Army POWs died of disease and starvation in Polish camps during and after the Polish Soviet War of 1919-1921, when the Red Army had to throw the Polish invaders out of Kiev and Belarus. Where is their memorial?

"Gulags,"

The GULAG system was based on the old Tsarist penal system and was later dismantled after Stalin. Most of its inmates were always ordinary criminals, not political.

"starvation,"

This is happening every day. Where's your accusations against the capitalist world for murdering millions of children every year due to starvation and preventable disease?

"forced labor,"

Private prison companies in the US are making a killing off of prison labor today.

"Chinese revolution,"

The Chinese revolution, while violent, lifted the largest population on earth out of abject poverty and backwardness. Based on that system, in the 1990s to the present China has experienced the largest decrease in poverty in history, and is set to become the world's strongest economic power around 2016. Chinese industrialization has certainly benefitted you.

" Pol Pot and dozens of other examples."

Pol Pot abandoned Communism some time around the year the KR came to power(1975), forcing Communists within the party to flee to Vietnam. The KR was driven out of power by "Communist" Vietnam in 1979 and from then on till about 1992 it was supported by the US, China, and the UK in its guerrilla war against the pro-Vietnam government in Phnom Penh.

aadila said,

ON OCTOBER 3RD, 2012 AT 9:30 AM

Rey, I think CM should get an award for mentioning the nexus between race relations and the evolution of the cucumber sandwich.

CoralSea said,

ON OCTOBER 3RD, 2012 AT 9:41 AM

Dear whitey is fed up -

You are right, and I should not have used the perjorative "teabagger" to refer to all "Tea Partiers." I am aware of the meaning of the word, "teabagging," and also aware that many of the tea partiers would never be allowed to participate in Frat party teabagging rituals on account of their inability to access the privileged world of college fraternities.

I also know that some tea partiers know that they are being manipulate by the billionaire Koch Brothers to strip government of its ability to regulate some of the little things, like, oh, I don't know, environmental protection, workplace safety, whatever meager restraints we still have on Wall Street types to loot 401Ks.

Many of the tea partiers who are aware of this agenda may on some level know that stripping away these protections will hurt people — maybe even them — but they are okay with that, as long as they can get rid of that uppity black guy in the White House (I mean, obviously the U.S. President is supposed to be "white" if

he is going to live in the White House) and all of those greedy slackers, who insist on still being able to eat and feed their kids after their jobs have been sent overseas by corporations whose "entitlements" in the way of tax credits vastly outweigh many of the social programs set up to keep the unemployed from starving to death.

And then there are other tea partiers who are just not all that bright and are easily manipulated, so no, I shouldn't have called them "Teabaggers" when the word "stupid" is so much easier for you — and them — to understand.

As for your Marx comments....Where to begin? I don't know any Marxists. All of the people I know believe in the Capitalist system (with safety nets for those who need help, again, feeding their children). I own my own company and regularly and successfully compete for business and put a lot of work into earning money in our "free" marketplace.

What I and most of the people I know don't agree with is the unbridled accumulation of so much wealth that it has tipped the scales to being a manipulated marketplace, where all of the cost and risk has been transferred to workers and consumers, and virtually all of the wealth has been transferred to a rarified few. I read yesterday that 93% of the wealth generated last year went to 1% of the U.S. population. How is that sustainable?

At some point, there will be very few consumers because most people are working at jobs that don't pay enough to provide for basic necessities (efforts have been made by several states to sue Wal-Mart, because it pays its employees so little that they qualify for and need foodstamps, Medicaid, etc. — thus, Wal-Mart is basically being subsidized by the government -that's the taxpayers-so it can keep wages low).

Most of us don't want the wealthy destroyed, but there is a happy medium. At this point, they DON'T pay their way and in fact, suck from the government teet while complaining about others who are sucking from the government teet. Believing this is wrong isn't Marxism, it's American. You know — the land of the free and the home of the brave?

So by all means continue to carry water for your billionaire Tea Party overlords. I won't call you "teabaggers" or even "teatards," despite your destructive stupidity.

aadila said,

ON OCTOBER 3RD, 2012 AT 9:56 AM

It looks like "fed up whitey's" argument about Marxism just took one under the stack.

Free market capitalism was responsible for one million dead during the Irish potato famine...Here's an excerpt from Encyclopaedia Britannica:

"Prime Minister Sir Robert Peel did what he could to provide relief in 1845 and early 1846, but under the Liberal cabinet of Lord John Russell, which assumed power in June 1846, the emphasis shifted to reliance on Irish resources and the free market, which made disaster inevitable. Much of the financial burden of providing for the starving Irish peasantry was thrown upon the Irish landowners themselves (through local poor relief). But because the peasantry was unable to pay its rents, the landlords soon ran out of funds with which to support them. "

How quickly right wing arguments about Marxism sink to a watery grave when confronted with facts. I wonder what Sam Molloy would say about it. I have no hope for "whitey" but Sam could perhaps benefit through the resignifying of capitalism.

Gregory said,

ON OCTOBER 3RD, 2012 AT 10:43 AM

Personally, I prefer the term "Teahadist" to describe those who have TP'd our political process.

Reynardine said,

ON OCTOBER 3RD, 2012 AT 12:06 PM

Well, and the Teahadis were sending teabags to members of Congress they disapproved of, sometimes with explicit instructions on what to do with them, so their prudish indignation is clearly just a bit of fine acting. Meanwhile, they are trying to turn the United States of America into Trinity and Teabago.

I think there are lots of awards this week. And heaven and earth tremble before the seven-league boots or Ruslan.

CoralSea said,

ON OCTOBER 3RD, 2012 AT 12:56 PM

I see I made a rather embarrassing error in my post (aside from the usual typos – my "D" key is sticking, hence "manipulate" rather than "manipulated."

Anyway, when I wrote ..."all of those greedy slackers, who insist on still being able to eat and feed their kids after their jobs have been sent over seas..." I didn't

mean to imply that the greedy slackers are eating their kids. Instead, I meant they wanted to be able to eat as well as to be able to feed their kids.

Hopefully no one was too alarmed. We haven't quite reached "A Modest Proposal" conditions. Yet.

aadila said,

ON OCTOBER 3RD, 2012 AT 1:11 PM

Oh what tangled webs we weave, when we practise to deceive...Naughty, naughty boy, whitey.

The term "tea bag" as identification with the tax protests originated within the Tea Party, as illustrated by this image from one of the original rallies. Notice the freepers holding up the incriminating signs.

<http://washingtonindependent.c.....nordlinger>

Aron said,

ON OCTOBER 3RD, 2012 AT 1:41 PM

Coral,

Now you tell me. I started to eat the Irish as soon as your post went live this morning. I'm already on my third Seamus, having eaten a Sean, two Ferguses, and a Brendan.

I was very hungry. And now I have to hide the remains.

THANKS, CORALSEA. >:(

Ruslan Amirkhanov said,

ON OCTOBER 3RD, 2012 AT 1:51 PM

In any case I'm only refuting his claims about Marxism because I'm(as far as I know) the only Marxist here. That means that most of these idiots think that they're arguing against Marxists when they aren't.

I've noticed to conservatives words don't really have to mean anything. Marxist = Evil so Opposing political views = Marxist.

Reynardine said,

ON OCTOBER 3RD, 2012 AT 2:03 PM

Aron, you moron, make bone stock. What do you think

French onion soup is for?

Lew said,

ON OCTOBER 3RD, 2012 AT 2:28 PM

I'm a White Nationalist. I agree entirely with Jared Taylor and Matthew Heimbach. I signed as "Randall" because I enjoy opposing perspectives. The problem is that it's damn-near impossible to find critics who are open to rationale dialog. When I admit to being a WNist up front, usually no one engages the points.

CoralSea said,

ON OCTOBER 3RD, 2012 AT 2:34 PM

Ruslan -

My brother is a Marxist — although he is referring to the Marx Brothers, which probably doesn't count.

Some years ago I was visiting my sister in Alaska and she was explaining how a group of Russian Orthodox Old Believers who live a few miles away pool their money to buy things like fishing boats and to build houses in their settlement and such. I made the mistake of commenting that it was interesting that they were so anti-Soviet (this was back when the Soviet Union was just starting to be dismantled), but they embraced a communal lifestyle.

She went bonkers and started yelling that they weren't socialists or communists or anything like that, because that was all evil and oppressive, and they were religious, so they could never be evil or oppressive (!!!).

Since my sister is a crazed born-again Christian, trying to discuss any form of economic arrangements with her is useless, so I just changed the subject.

I also love the people who describe others as Communist Nazis — but folks who do that tend to be lost causes already.

CoralSea said,

ON OCTOBER 3RD, 2012 AT 2:37 PM

Careful, folks -

We need to avoid discussions of cannibalism — especially in this thread — lest it devolve into an entirely clueless discussion of "white meat" versus "dark meat." I think that would likely leave everyone in tears — even if we try to restrict the conversation to cooking stock.

Aron said,

ON OCTOBER 3RD, 2012 AT 3:32 PM

Heehee! You win, Coral. I shall desist.

Still gotta dig that hole, however.

Vili said,

ON OCTOBER 3RD, 2012 AT 4:37 PM

Lew:

It's difficult, I know. Particularly in personal life, where friends and family have been trained and indoctrinated to discuss largely irrelevant issues, but cringe at the idea of discussing something as profound as the replacement of an entire racial group.

Do y'all think us White men want to be White Nationalist? At least for me, I'd love to go back to being a southern blue-dog democrat like my father was. WN is not a feel-good ideology: whatever pride we receive from our supposed "white-supremacy" is over-shadowed by being literally anathema to modern America and being lambasted as evil things comparable to child molesters. At heart what we desire at most are homogenous, culturally White communities. That makes us the same as millions other White Americans: only difference being that we're willing to ADMIT why we're fine with commuting from the suburbs instead of living in the "diverse" inner-city.

Some of us believe our ideal communities will only be achieved and protected with real freedom of association, others believe it requires a new Israel like state for European people. In the end, however, the idea of homogenous White communities is the heresy of modern America. These places are receding everyday: whether by our declining birthrates, by the million+ non-White immigrants every year, by the negation of White flight through Section 8, or through the spreading of our tax bases and transportation through "regional equity". If my workplace is all White, even though merit, that just will not do! Disparate impact says meritocracy is racist, and so Whites must share their employment as well.

At the moment most anti-White Nationalist defenses center around Ad hominem attacks or simple tautologies: particularly the personal "you are just sexually emasculated by black men taking White women!" That one gets tiresome for me, both as an assault against us but also its parroting within the WN community. Also, it doesn't really answer why some women are drawn to White Nationalism as well. In general, this phenomenon exists because it is the most

pronounced within the media: the constant bombarding images of black athletes and their white girlfriends, or the vastly disproportionate numbers of African American men in ads and television shows. You know the black male-white female meme is pushed hard, intentionally, because its alternatives: (besides the standard white-white) like Asian male-White female, or Hispanic male-White female, are apparently non-existent in Hollywood.

America worships black masculinity: mainly through collegiate and sports viewership, but also through the Hip-Hop subculture. Most White men are merely rebelling against this over-representation, and it likely has little to do with feelings of sexual inadequacy.

Reynardine said,

ON OCTOBER 3RD, 2012 AT 4:44 PM

Gives a whole new slant, though, to saying, "I come of Irish stock".

Aron, do you have a dog???

Gregory said,

ON OCTOBER 3RD, 2012 AT 5:04 PM

Lew,

Think about it. If people are initially hostile to you when you present yourself as a WN, how do you think they are going to react after they find out that you adopted a false identity to advance the same agenda? I know that WNs are stereotypically stupid, but you are taking "clueless" to the next level.

There is no "rational" dialogue on the matter because White Nationalism is built on irrational premises.

CoralSea said,

ON OCTOBER 3RD, 2012 AT 7:54 PM

Lew — I think we have engaged your points, although perhaps not in a way that pleased you.

To summarize some of the main points that get raised in discussions such as this:

We have a problem with "race" as an absolute definition. There are many "combinations," including myself, who looks very European, always assumed I was (at least over the last 1,000 years) of purely European descent, but have discovered that I have an African-American ancestor four generations ago. So — WHAT am I? I'm me — but with a more interesting lineage than I'd previously

been aware.

We don't regard others who are from elsewhere or who look different than us as automatically incompatible, threatening, or inferior (although individuals of any description, including "white" [e.g., of primarily Northern European descent] may be any of these things — Note: we do look at people and their behavior INDIVIDUALLY)

We don't believe that as a group, "whites" have been damaged by Affirmative Action, but we do KNOW that, in the past, minorities and women have been passed over from beginning positions, promotions, schooling, the ability to get loans or housing (in my own lifetime — and I'm not that old — women in several states still couldn't have their own bank accounts; a man had to have the account with her. Women were routinely held to a much higher standard in order to obtain mortgages — once they finally COULD get mortgages on their own — than men, and we all know that minorities were not only kept out of some communities or neighborhoods, but that the banks used to (and some covertly still do) "redline" minority areas, meaning that they wouldn't give mortgages to people buying in those areas.

As I noted before, we are ALL being pinched economically (although the minority population have taken it on the chin much harder, in general, than the "white" population). The situation with student loans is insane, as are the home foreclosures and the loss of well-paying jobs. But this isn't the work of women or minorities. It's the work of some very rich investors and other captains of industry, most of whom are white guys who wouldn't bother to cross the road to pee on poor white guys if they were on fire. If you want to improve your lot, you need to take THEM on and demand better.

If you have faced individual cases of discrimination, I am sorry for that. Many of us do (and minorities, lower-income people, and women often face discrimination on a business-as-normal basis). Does that make it right? No, of course not. I believe in merit, but I also believe in diversity, because all of us bring something extra to the table — including you.

What I really believe in is enlarging the pie, so that everyone has a chance to live a life that is fulfilling and as free from want as possible. It may not be 100% achievable, but it's certainly been achieved by a much greater percentage than is the case now, which means that the dial CAN be moved.

If you embrace your ethnicity-or your "European roots"-great! I do. I am Anglo-Scottish, Frankish, Scandinavian, and German (a popular mix). I love those cultures (though no Haggis for me). I will be attending an Oktoberfest celebration this weekend. I will also be attending a Kwanzaa celebration in December because dear friends of mine are involved and it is a beautiful,

family- and child-centered festival, even if it is very recent. Like all festivals that aren't totally religion-soaked, it is simply a celebration of life and human connections.

We will miss you (although perhaps we may one day cross paths at an Oktoberfest or a St. Patrick's Day).

If there is something else I am missing — some point you want to make — please write back to me. I promise to answer, and I promise, in advance, to upbraid anyone who is unreasonably mean to you or disrespectful of your thoughts on this thread.

Ruslan Amirkhanov said,

ON OCTOBER 3RD, 2012 AT 11:21 PM

Lew, clearly you are new here - We are happy to address any WN claims or arguments.

For example, your claims that whites are actively discriminated against: Where is your scientific evidence? There have been plenty of studies to show discrimination against blacks and Latinos in hiring, housing, lending, etc.

Ruslan Amirkhanov said,

ON OCTOBER 4TH, 2012 AT 9:27 AM

"It's difficult, I know. Particularly in personal life, where friends and family have been trained and indoctrinated to discuss largely irrelevant issues, but cringe at the idea of discussing something as profound as the replacement of an entire racial group."

There is no "replacement of an entire racial group." First, that "racial group" is arbitrarily defined and the definition has changed radically over time. Second, what you call "white" people evolved from "non-white" people, and people fitting the description "white" exist naturally all over the world in places you wouldn't expect, where they are a minority. If they survived you will survive. If you're worried about becoming a minority in the US I'd have to ask why. I mean, are you suggesting that minorities are treated badly in the US?

"whatever pride we receive from our supposed "white-supremacy" is over-shadowed by being literally anathema to modern America and being lambasted as evil things comparable to child molesters."

Perhaps you should take a good long hard look at the history of your movement and ask yourself why that is. For one thing, your movement does seem to have a lot of pedophiles and sex offenders in it, I mean for a

movement which claims to be upholding morality and civilization.

"At heart what we desire at most are homogenous, culturally White communities."

And who decides what "white culture", or even "white" is? Once you have an all white country, you're still going to have economic divisions. Then you'll have to explain the disparity between whites of certain ethnicities and whites of others.

" That makes us the same as millions other White Americans: only difference being that we're willing to ADMIT why we're fine with commuting from the suburbs instead of living in the "diverse" inner-city."

Someone needs to read about the economic history of the post-war era to understand why the inner-city is the way it is. I also recommend reading the history of cities like New York and Chicago around the late 19th century-early 20th century. They were far more crime-ridden than they are today, yet they were almost exclusively white. Perhaps something other than "race" explains the crime.

"Some of us believe our ideal communities will only be achieved and protected with real freedom of association, others believe it requires a new Israel like state for European people."

So a racist, apartheid state which discriminates against some citizens regardless of where they were born or how long they have inhabited the place. Got it!

" whether by our declining birthrates, by the million+ non-White immigrants every year, "

Birthrates decline for ALL groups in industrialized countries. Fertility for black women in particular has been going down since the 1970s. As for the immigrants, over 100 years ago folks were saying the same thing about the Irish, Poles, Czechs, Hungarians, etc.

"If my workplace is all White, even though merit, that just will not do! Disparate impact says meritocracy is racist, and so Whites must share their employment as well."

Right, because whites get jobs through merit! There's the myth of the American meritocracy for you. Only white people still believe that nonsense even with this economic crisis staring them in the face and telling them it's not true. If employers are chomping at the bit to hire non-white workers, maybe you can explain why non-white unemployment always tends to be higher than that for white workers? Perhaps you could explain away the studies which have shown that applicants with "black-sounding names" are 50% or so LESS likely to get a call back on their application.

"At the moment most anti-White Nationalist defenses center around Ad hominem attacks or simple tautologies: particularly the personal "you are just sexually emasculated by black men taking White women! That one gets tiresome for me, both as an assault against us but also its parroting within the WN community."

I don't remember anyone here saying that. In fact I don't think I've ever seen any serious publication making that claim. But, as you say, I DO see that thrown around a lot from WNs. I wonder why that is.

" Also, it doesn't really answer why some women are drawn to White Nationalism as well. In general, this phenomenon exists because it is the most pronounced within the media: the constant bombarding images of black athletes and their white girlfriends, or the vastly disproportionate numbers of African American men in ads and television shows."

1. Do you have any data to back these claims up.

2. Are you saying that white women are so stupid they see an interracial relationship on TV and just decide to go out and find a black boyfriend?

3. WHY ARE YOU CONSTANTLY TALKING ABOUT WHITE WOMEN WITH BLACK PARTNERS?

" You know the black male-white female meme is pushed hard, intentionally, because its alternatives: (besides the standard white-white)"

Yes, people only do things because they are "pushed" by the media. People are incredibly stupid. Not you though, you use the internet, so you're smarter than all of them.

Of course some people who aren't WNs have noticed the black-male/white female 'meme' as it were in Hollywood, and if I were asked to comment on it I would say it's because some people(e.g. YOU) still see it as a taboo. Contrary to the liberal image it likes to project, Hollywood is a bastion of racism and backward thinking; hence, they think that black male/white female is still edgy.

" like Asian male-White female, or Hispanic male- White female, are apparently non-existent in Hollywood."

Obviously you haven't seen the Transporter. If not, you're lucky. Also, there is no such "race" or ethnicity as "Hispanic." It's a linguistic group. There are millions of white, European Hispanics throughout the world.

"America worships black masculinity: mainly through collegiate and sports viewership, but also through the Hip-Hop subculture."

America? Or you? You obviously spend a lot of time thinking about it. I mean you DID bring it up. I would agree, based on statistics regarding mainstream hip-hop's consumer demographic, that white, suburban America loves a particular, stereotypical caricature of 'black culture', but in reality this isn't much different from the days of minstrel shows. Nobody would say the fanbase of minstrelsy weren't racists.

" Most White men are merely rebelling against this over-representation, and it likely has little to do with feelings of sexual inadequacy."

Actually White nationalism has a LOT to do with feelings of sexual inadequacy, not simply in relation to black men, but men in general.

aadila said,

ON OCTOBER 4TH, 2012 AT 9:35 AM

"In general, this phenomenon exists because it is the most pronounced within the media: the constant bombarding images of black athletes and their white girlfriends, or the vastly disproportionate numbers of African American men in ads and television shows."

If this notion is accurate, Vili, it is only because the only place white people allowed blacks to succeed was as entertainers...and by the way, all the simple tautologies and ad hominem in the world don't necessarily change the fact that you might indeed be afraid the black man's sexuality once he steps off the television screen or minstrel show stage and into your wife's bed. You created that which you fear.

Erika said,

ON OCTOBER 4TH, 2012 AT 10:17 AM

Vili, i find it very interesting and revealing that you failed to list the dominant historic interracial relationship - relationships between white males and black females.

And the vast majority of relationships depicted in the media are between people of the same race. You have to be rather obsessed to think otherwise.

Dave said,

ON OCTOBER 4TH, 2012 AT 2:27 PM

It is very annoying when people like Mr. Taylor are allowed to come to institutions of higher learning to speak. I know about his lies, like the idea that races

exist and have different average characteristics. Mr. Taylor has expressed among other crazy things, his belief that Orientals are on average more intelligent than whites who are on average more intelligent than blacks. If the stereotypes described by Mr. Taylor were true, the best scientists in the world would not be from Somalia, Congo, and Zimbabwe. The Zulu tribe would not be famous for making the finest high tech sports cars and producing state-of-the-art electronics. Somalia's space exploration program would not be the world's most successful with the possible exception of the one in Haiti. And Japan would be a clean, prosperous, productive, and relatively crime-free nation. Go home Mr. Taylor! And take your fantasies with you!

aadila said,

ON OCTOBER 4TH, 2012 AT 2:40 PM

It's "asian", not "oriental", Dave you darling little licorice whip...and you forgot to consider globalization in your sardonic regurgitation of the same old hackneyed white pride speech. Every nation you have mentioned was a victim at one point of European colonialism, where neither racial or cultural superiority was the deciding factor, but military technology based on the bloodthirsty tendencies of Western Europe.

Before you jump to extoll the racial virtues of military power, consider that one of the main reasons Japan is a prosperous, clean, and productive nation today is that it was prohibited from spending on military after WWII. Same holds for Germany. Meanwhile the American people are taxing themselves to death to keep everyone else from rising up and treating us the way we've treated them for centuries. Hardly a point in favor of the white majority, don't you think, Dave?

Kiwiwriter said,

ON OCTOBER 4TH, 2012 AT 6:55 PM

Yeah, Dave, I agree....Mr. Taylor should go home and take his fantasies with him. Thank you for supporting that point.

And one other stereotype is true...your use of recycled and stale arguments is the hallmark of many a neo-Nazi. Run along back to VNN, where you can denounce your buddies as FBI plants.

Sit! Stand! Beg! Roll over! There's a good little troll!

Ruslan Amirkhanov said,

ON OCTOBER 5TH, 2012 AT 3:43 AM

Dave, were you aware that until about the 1970's, "race" scientists believed that Caucasoids were more intelligent than "Oriental" Mongoloids? They sure were. But suddenly around the 70's, they decided that Asians were smarter. I'm sure this had nothing to do with the fact that Japan had suddenly become an economic power competing with the US. I'm also sure that we shouldn't pay attention to the more mainstream theory that the "Japanese style" of Confucianism was in fact superior to the mainland Confucianist culture, which also emerged coincidentally with the appearance of an economically powerful Japan.

Basically your theory on Africa is a lot like someone saying that Japanese succeeded because they have an epicanthic fold over their eyes.

Vili said,

ON OCTOBER 5TH, 2012 AT 3:49 PM

I'm not sure why this post did not come through the first time. If it is too long please inform me instead of simply omitting it. I'll post twice in order to limit its size.

Ruslan Amirkhanov:

"There is no "replacement of an entire racial group." First, that "racial group" is arbitrarily defined and the definition has changed radically over time."

"Arbitrarily defined?" What always amazes me in racial discussions with liberals is whenever general European identities are concerned it's always deconstruction, dissolution, and nitpicking about distinction. Does the fact that distinct Irish and German identities exist mean that a general European identity doesn't or can't exist? Would a German look upon an Englishman and a Nigerian, and say "both men are equally distinct from my identity?" Of course not.

Your average African-American is highly intermixed with European ancestry, roughly 20% I've heard off-hand, and yet nobody "deconstructs" black identity. In fact, Africans as a racial group have the most diverse genetic makeup:

(<http://www.washingtonpost.com/.....02485.html>) and yet no one is running around saying "what is the black race...really." You could be san, or Bantu, or Hutu, all of which have more genetic differences between one-another than say, between an Irishman and Englishman.

"Second, what you call "white" people evolved from "non-white" people,"

-Don't disagree with you there. In fact, why should we destroy in only a few decades, or little more than a century, what nature and evolution had taken over 10,000 years to create? I generally like to conserve things: like distinct peoples and their cultures, and so find this "liberal" warfare against distinction morally

wrong. If you believe in a proposition nation (the current status quo of the West), where group identity and race mean nothing and everyone is merely an individual, then that's fine by me. However, by making only European countries proposition Nations, you effectively destroy a future for distinct European peoples.

Thus, to preserve our people we require either voluntary, separate communities of which we rightly can keep homogenous or an explicitly European nation for our own.

In America, by making it a "proposition nation", you effectively destroy its pan-European identity which was held unchallenged through its history until 1965.

Please don't bring up the Irish. Yes, the Anglo-Saxon character of early America had difficulty assimilating the Irish, and later groups like the Polish, but today it's clearly been accomplished. Blacks and Mexicans have been here since before the U.S. even existed, and their identities are as distinct from Whites as they've ever been. Could this be all due to discrimination, comparable to the discrimination early Irish faced? That did not stop their integration, so it must be for other reasons: like general desire to be with one's own and to continue the existence of one's group.

"people fitting the description "white" exist naturally all over the world in places you wouldn't expect, where they are a minority".

=What, certain tribes in Afghanistan? Particularly "white" looking Uighurs? European descended people living in the upper echelons of the Hispanic caste system? This is not genetic reductionism: European civilization, society, character, and culture will disappear far before its genetic basis becomes lost in a sea of amalgamation.

"I mean, are you suggesting that minorities are treated badly in the US?"

-I believe they were in the past. I believe today Whites are increasingly the "concession class" to be used and abused, and I believe that Whites, as a minority, would receive much worse comparative to most crimes we committed in the past. I know because those replacing us: modern Hispanics, Africans, and Arab-Muslims particularly, carry with them the violent cultures they adapted to in their home countries. If they decide to violently assault us, it's going to far outsize any of the horrors committed by Bull Connor.

Or wait, do you think we deserve this for our "crimes of the past?"

"Perhaps you should take a good long hard look at the history of your movement and ask yourself why that is. For one thing, your movement does seem to have a lot of pedophiles and sex offenders in it, I mean for a movement which claims to be upholding morality and

civilization."

=You really need to be more skeptical of your side's war propaganda. Since Roman Polanski drugged and raped and young girl should I determine that Jews are all child molesters? That all camp survivors are child molesters? Half-Catholics? Directors? There's a reason the anti-racist side is losing ground everyday: all they offer are vitriolic shouts of hate. People are beginning to see our message because anti-racists offer no alternative, regardless of the fallibility of some of our members.

"And who decides what "white culture", or even "white" is?"

="Who decides black culture?". Well, blacks do, they're just allowed to articulate exactly what that is. As I said before, you cannot wish away something that clearly exists. Ask the implicitly super-white cities of Portland, Pittsburg, etc etc. Ask the entirely White audiences at Nascar races or Opera houses. Look at the racial makeup of America's churches, the home-school covenants, book clubs, congregations, barbeques etc etc. You would be lying to state such things are all multi-racial utopias, proportionally representing equally the rainbow mosaic of America. In fact, the vast majority are mono-racial.

"Once you have an all-white country, you're still going to have economic divisions. Then you'll have to explain the disparity between whites of certain ethnicities and whites of others."

=Are you saying there may be genetic difference in visible distinction, even cognitive ability between... European ethnicities?! What's next, dumb Polack jokes?

"I also recommend reading the history of cities like New York and Chicago around the late 19th century-early 20th century."

=This is also the 21st century. Those periods were long before the advent of the suburbs, before the mixing of America's European peoples, before the mass immigration of equatorial peoples since 1965, and before the end of segregation. Sometimes, historical precedents don't offer much value in this unprecedented liberal world.

Today, Whites run from Hispanics and Blacks because gas is cheap and they still have the wealth. They can say they're leaving for "good schools", or "cleaner air", or "I hate black people;" but it's always the same: Whites run.

Now there's Black-flight in Dallas from Hispanics. (<http://www.dallasnews.com/news.....chools.ece>) Oh the horrid racism of desiring to be around your own!

"So a racist, apartheid state which discriminates against some citizens regardless of where they were born or how long they have inhabited the place. Got it!"

=Be careful there.....that's sound like anti-Semitism. We just want a state explicitly designed to promote the safety and continuation of a certain kind of people: European peoples. The Jews have one, and in your opinion, it's evil. Why not us? Explicitly racial states don't necessarily need to be as violent as Israel: look at modern Japan, or pre-Mao Tibet.

"Birthrates decline for ALL groups in industrialized countries. Fertility for black women in particular has been going down since the 1970s."

=Yes, but White fertility is still endemically low due to cultural factors and the cost of rearing children in "desirable" communities with "good" schools (i.e., White areas). We will get replaced as the 1st generation of Immigrations still have very high birthrates in the 5-6 child range before they level off the 2nd or 3rd generation. Thus, Whites get replaced.

"As for the immigrants, over 100 years ago folks were saying the same thing about the Irish, Poles, Czechs, Hungarians, etc."

=Ah yes, the immigrant argument. As if a Muslim Somalia immigrant on a refuge visa is the exact same thing as a Pole coming in with nothing but the clothes on his back. American White Nationalists don't mind Poles, Czechs, and Hungarians. In a WN state, they would accept those people, whatever challenges that may entail. Modern Whites wouldn't flee their neighborhood when a large number of run-of-the-mill Swedes or Poles or Hungarians show up. They will flee when Somalis or Guatemalans show up though, whether they admit they're racist or not.

Is this whole modern "general European-identity" thing so difficult for you anti-racists to grasp?

"There's the myth of the American meritocracy for you."

=exactly, it is a myth. Civil rights effectively killed it, Dukes vs Griggs in particular.

Vili said,

ON OCTOBER 5TH, 2012 AT 3:52 PM

"If employers are chomping at the bit to hire non-white workers"

=They probably aren't "chomping": they just have quotas to reach.

"maybe you can explain why non-white unemployment always tends to be higher than that for white workers?"

=% of people with high school degrees by race is probably a good starting reason for that problem.

"applicants with "black-sounding names" are 50% or so

LESS likely to get a call back on their application.”  
=Perhaps black parents should use a little foresight when naming their baby “Laquanisha,” and take after Asian immigrants who are privy to quickly adopt simple European names for their children. That study is likely stating the stereotype that if you have a dumb name, you’re likely to be a dumb employee. White girls named Sapphire likely have this problem.

“I don’t remember anyone here saying that.” In fact I don’t think I’ve ever seen any serious publication making that claim.

=It’s a common tactic against White men who speak candidly of black male dysfunction. A quick Google search will take you through the realm of racial-sexual perceptions. BM-WM is only heavily discussed amongst White Nationalists because it’s such a clear visual staple among our media and is one of the early phenomenons to produce racial anxiety amongst White males. Like I said before, Asian M-White F, or Hispanic M-White F is almost nonexistent in your daily media. I highly doubt this isn’t done with intention. Based on any casual viewing of your run of the mill American ad or show, America is 40% Jewish, 20% blonde women, and the rest blacks. You do understand that through the majority of human history, different looking men copulating with your women likely means you have been thoroughly crushed militarily or have been enslaved? There are evolutionary-sexual reasons men find foreign females attractive but balk at the thought of alien men with their women. These strange times we live in decree such things as “hip” but only White men actively censor their discontent.

“I DO see that thrown around a lot from WNs. I wonder why that is.”

There you go, alluding to personal attack. No movement is made up of perfect men and women, yet you fail to empathize with the fundamentally reasonable and growing discontent amongst Whites against their dispossession. Anti-racists answer only with personal assault: bigot, hater, sexually inadequate, inbred, dumb, lonely etc etc. That is your only answer to the slow but sure dispossession of any entire people?”

“1. Do you have any data to back these claims up?”

=Watch T.V. with a bit of objectivity, and not like the average brain-dead American, and you’ll see what kind relationships between the races they display, both implicitly and explicitly, in their often post-racial fantasy world. You know better than to think any study would actually go release something like: “the rankings of sexual attractiveness by race in media and television,” and not get hunted down by groups like the SPLC. Heck, Kanazawa’s career was nearly destroyed for alluding with his research that black women are found less attractive, on average, than other women by men of all races.

2. Are you saying that white women are so stupid they see an interracial relationship on TV and just decide to go out and find a black boyfriend?

=You should understand I hate the "they be TAKin OUR WHITE Wimmenz!" theme amongst WN, but yes, slowly but surely, visually engineering takes its toll. What was once seen as socially unacceptable and ultimately degenerative is made "acceptable" through constant repetition in media.

I in fact find the "yellow-fever" amongst White men to be in the long run vastly more dangerous, for it deludes the racial identity of those who should be fighting for their people. Sadly, White women have lost many of their old cultural healthy norms, and thus Asian women with healthy traditional eastern values look better and better each year.

Muhammad Ali put it best:

(<http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=oVpfcq4pV5U>)

### 3. WHY ARE YOU CONSTANTLY TALKING ABOUT WHITE WOMEN WITH BLACK PARTNERS?

=It's an important affront against the continuation of my people. It needs just as much discussion as any other anti-White modern problems like Section 8 housing or low fertility.

"Yes, people only do things because they are "pushed" by the media. People are incredibly stupid. Not you though, you use the internet, so you're smarter than all of them."

=As I said, you call us haters and stupid, yet I feel nothing but irrational contempt from the Anti-Racist side. We will win because we are drive by a love our people and wish to preserve them. You will lose for you are only united in your hatred of White existence.

"Contrary to the liberal image it likes to project, Hollywood is a bastion of racism and backward thinking; hence, they think that black male/white female is still edgy."

=lol. As if movies such as "The Help," further White Nationalist causes. Hollywood is run by people who aren't particularly fond of White Nationalism:  
<http://www.latimes.com/news/op.....183.column>

"Also, there is no such "race" or ethnicity as "Hispanic." It's a linguistic group. There are millions of white, European Hispanics throughout the world."

=You should become more familiar with the Hispanic racial caste system. When I deem "Hispanic" I allude to the current migrants coming into the United States, who are heavily native-indigenous in their racial makeup. White-Hispanics, like the current president of Mexico who looks like joey from friends, are not the kind of people immigrating to the U.S. They're quite happy being the top of the racial food chain down there.

"America? Or you? You obviously spend a lot of time thinking about it. I mean you DID bring it up."

=It's hard not to ignore the bread and circuses of White America. I mean, it's what Rome did during its decline. Wake up and smell the coffee as they say.

"I would agree, based on statistics regarding mainstream hip-hop's consumer demographic, that white, suburban America loves a particular, stereotypical caricature of 'black culture', but in reality this isn't much different from the days of minstrel shows. Nobody would say the fanbase of minstrelsy weren't racists."

=Agreed! You and I both seem to understand this as a culturally degenerative trend. Blacks and Whites both negatively feed off our own stereotypes, now more than ever. Where we differ is that you believe this will end with post-racialism (which I believe as long ago reached expiration date), I see the only solution in separation.

The longer we deny race, the quicker America is going to turn into Yugoslavia. That means future blood spilled will be on the Anti-racist hands for denying White Americans the right to talk about the fundamental issues of our country.

"Actually White nationalism has a LOT to do with feelings of sexual inadequacy, not simply in relation to black men, but men in general."

=You should lay off the Freud for a bit.

Erika:

"Vili, i find it very interesting and revealing that you failed to list the dominant historic interracial relationship - relationships between white males and black females."

=I didn't mention it for it seemed irrelevant in the modern context. However, I hate those early colonial Whites for bringing in slaves, for I doubt they were completely ignorant in the danger of having a completely racially alien group brought into such proximity as your own, especially since the average colonial White feared miscegenation. I also abhor the immoral act of slavery itself (let me guess: you think all White Nationalist want to bring back slavery?). However, the interests of a few greedy men beat the interests of the many, and thus laid the groundwork of so many of the problems White Americans face today.

Gregory said,

ON OCTOBER 5TH, 2012 AT 6:59 PM

It is quite obvious that "Vili" has never spent any time in Europe. I would be surprised if he has ventured outside his home state.

As for the contempt you feel, Vili, there is nothing irrational about it at all. It refers to you personally, not your mythical "people". No one is putting "white people" down, they are referring to you, specifically, as

an individual. You may attempt to cloak your views with David Duke euphemisms and faux scientific terms, but ultimately you are perceived as just another pathetic little Illinois Nazi.

It is a bit sad, really, but do let us know if you manage to do something about that plummeting birth rate.

Erika said,

ON OCTOBER 6TH, 2012 AT 8:26 AM

Vili, my dum dum lollypop, of course that history is relevant today. Basically you and your white nationalist friends are trying to preserve the purity of something that was diluted long ago. Perhaps you have noticed that many "black" people have light complexions. In fact, almost all black women on television qualify as having light complexions. Many of them have white fathers or mothers. There is a connection there.

Many "white" people have dark complexions. Now why would that be? Centuries of race mixing in the United States. The American people even though you white nationalists refuse to admit it has evolved over time into a massive "triracial isolate" community. Triracial isolate - the most well known being the Lumbees in North Carolina and the Seminoles in Florida - communities were initially formed by groups of whites who adopted a Native American lifestyle. These groups also welcomed escaped slaves who also adopted a native lifestyle. In fact, the Seminole Wars were directly due to the practice of the Seminole tribe in Florida taking in escaped slaves as members. Even today those communities identify and are classified as Native American despite being a mix of three races.

See, sweetie, its like this - the first Europeans arrived to settle in the United States in the period from 1560 to 1600. The initial European settlement in the U.S. was in Florida at Saint Augustine and in what is today Texas, New Mexico, and California - contrary to what you were taught in school, Hispanics were in the U.S. before the English and initially had the initial European settlements in a large section of the United States especially in the Southwest. In fact, much of what you consider "western American" cowboy culture is actually of Spanish origin. The first successful English settlers arrived in 1607. The first Africans arrived in shackles in 1619. The first settlements in the United States were, of course, by the Native American population who there are several theories as to how they got here but it is most commonly believed originated in Asia. The first Europeans to visit the current United States is now known to be the Vikings who have been documented in the northeastern part of the United States around 1000. It is possible that Phoenician or African sailors may have crossed the Atlantic to the Americas even earlier.

From that you can see that there have been literally centuries of race mixing within this country. In the frontier region especially whites often took Native American women to be their wives. In the slavery era it was very common for owners to impregnate (and not always by rape) their wives - especially favoring light skinned women (in the most famous case, that of Thomas Jefferson and Sally Hemmings, Sally Hemmings in fact was the half sister of Thomas Jefferson's deceased wife Martha and closely resembled her).

Many of these children and the mothers were later freed - especially the ones who were products of long term "consensual relationships" as opposed to rape - and being light skinned eventually some began to "pass" as white. Even after slavery ended relationships between white men and black women continued - even Strom Thurmond arch segregationist had a black daughter. As more of these relationships occurred, given the fact that blacks had extremely limited educational and job opportunities and as their skin became lighter and lighter through interracial relationships more and more blacks passed as white\*. Passing continued throughout the Jim Crow era where there were instances where Black women often would give up light skinned babies at birth so they could be adopted by white families and grow up white. In other cases after transportation became easier, as adults, light skinned black people would move away to a different state or even town and instantly become "white." In fact, one of the silliest things about the entire "purity" of the white race concept is that the white race in this country is not pure and hasn't been for a long time.

Naturally as Jim Crow developed and more and more blacks were able to "pass" as white due to continued interracial relationships states got more restrictive. The racial definition in many southern states was changed to the "one drop" rule - naturally this rule was silly in a world where someone can board a segregated rail coach in their hometown and take it to a state where segregation was banned and instantly become "white." In Plessy v. Ferguson the case which upheld Jim Crow, Homer Plessy was 1/16 black and by all accounts appeared white - it was only that people in Louisiana knew that he had a black great grand parent that he was "black." If he simply moved to Mississippi - or better yet Iowa or Illinois the closest non-segregated states to Louisiana - he probably would have become instantly "white."

Now how does all of this work? As some people here are aware, I'm a "white" woman from Georgia - my parents are both from the Midwest however. There was never any question that I was white despite having a darker complexion than some black people (my favorite example was the "black" guy blond haired blue eyed guy with extremely pale skin). Now, I really had little idea what my origins were other than "white" European until a family history deepened the mystery by providing some

interesting evidence that my several great grandfather may have married a black woman prior to the Civil War. Unfortunately i really do not know - in fact, i realize i know very little about my origins. Most of them are unknown.

Ultimately - and this is what you ignorant white nationalists fail to release - all the white "race" really is especially in this country is people who happen to look "white." There is nothing pure about it You can't save something which already doesn't exist.

Vili, sweetcake, if there was a all white country and you had to get genetically tested to prove you are all white to get in it is almost without a doubt that you and all of your white nationalist friends wouldn't qualify.

And honeybun, that is the difference between white nationalists and what you call anti-racists. We actually understand history, culture, and society enough to know that we are only white in this country because we "look" white and our ancestors were accepted as "white."

And one more thing, my sugarwafer, there are black people who like and attend NASCAR races and operas. Its pretty clear that you have never actually gone to either and are obviously ignorant of the late great Wendell Scott and sorry i can't remember the name of the black female opera singer who was banned from singing at Constitution Hall by the DAR in the 1930s (i'm thinking Matilda Jackson - is that right?). At the last orchestra concert i went to not only were there black people in the audience there were black people playing on stage.

\* there were very few recorded instances of whites passing as black - even today when according to white nationalists blacks have all sorts of advantages in education and hiring very few dark skinned whites choose to "pass" as black. If what the white nationalists say is true there would be a stampede of whites seeking to pass as black and get those advantages. Can't imagine why the white nationalists don't want to discuss the issue of "passing."

Ruslan Amirkhanov said,

ON OCTOBER 6TH, 2012 AT 9:28 AM

Ah now where were we? Oh right, me making fun of you...

Let's continue.

"=You should become more familiar with the Hispanic racial caste system. When I deem "Hispanic" I allude to the current migrants coming into the United States, who are heavily native-indigenous in

their racial makeup. White-Hispanics, like the current president of Mexico who looks like Joey from Friends, are not the kind of people immigrating to the U.S. They're quite happy being the top of the racial food chain down there."

So you acknowledge that white Hispanics exist in South America. How do you explain how they are still numerous despite all the "race-mixing?" Cuba is a country which has a significant African population, where racism is officially condemned, yet it is still 63% "white European." Brazil also has a significant "white" or "European" population despite having a very large African population. Looks to me like your fears are unfounded.

"=It's hard not to ignore the bread and circuses of White America. I mean, it's what Rome did during its decline. Wake up and smell the coffee as they say."

Yes, because America is just like Rome.

"The longer we deny race, the quicker America is going to turn into Yugoslavia. That means future blood spilled will be on the Anti-racist hands for denying White Americans the right to talk about the fundamental issues of our country."

This merely shows you do not understand what happened in the former Yugoslavia. First off, some of the groups who were fighting, particularly Bosnian Muslims and Serbs, were ethnically identical. And despite the claims of Croat nationalists, they are extremely similar to Serbs ethnically. The break-up of Yugoslavia easily tears to pieces your idea of pan-Europeanism, when people so similar could hate each other so violently.

The history of Yugoslavia and the events in its past are very different from those of the US. The ethnic groups of the US do not correspond to the Yugoslav groups. Yugoslavia was a country torn between empires which wrought their influence on various groups so as to divide them and keep them under control. When has America been so occupied?

"=You should lay off the Freud for a bit."

Maybe you should stop obsessing over blacks and blondes. You brought it up. Freud has nothing to do with this.

From my experience, you're a pretty typical "intellectual" WN. You back your claims with a lot of ideas you expect to be assumed as common knowledge, but the science and the historical evidence just isn't there. The taboo about white/black female/male relationships is largely an American thing, dreamed up by racist whites.

Kiwiwriter said,

ON OCTOBER 6TH, 2012 AT 5:39 PM

"=It's hard not to ignore the bread and circuses of White America. I mean, it's what Rome did during its decline. Wake up and smell the coffee as they say."

Anybody who thinks that whites in America (or any other ethnic group, for that matter) who are not in the super-rich, are living in a "bread and circuses" environment, is silly.

Long hours, lousy pay, poor benefits, foreclosures, debts, and figuring out how to pay the mortgage, the car, the kids' college, and retirement, are not jokes, or games. Only people who don't deal with such issues would say that that the mass of Americans are living in such an environment.

I have seen that manure spouted by other White Nationalists for decades.

An obsession with a favorite TV show, hobby, celebrity, or baseball team is a relief from the harsh and often cruel realities of real life and its responsibilities.

One of the things I was struck by Kirsten Kaiser's account of the inner workings of the National Alliance, reported in Intelligence Report, was its complete disconnection from the realities of life. She described how nobody in the organization had a real job, health insurance, real responsibilities beyond the party, or a wife who was not a mail-order bride from Eastern Europe (which made her one of the Slavs that Hitler hated so much, a sparkling irony I caught). She asked the man she divorced if they would ever have a "normal life."

He asked, "What's a normal life?"

I think a lot of these White Nationalists should get out of their parents' basements and away from the computer for a while...get a real job, prepare a real resume, a real apartment, start paying real taxes, and live on a budget... it'll be interesting to see how their world-paradigm shifts.

Ruslan, you know this type of person and world far better than I do, from the many previous posts of yours that I have read (and respected). Am I off the mark? I can take it.

Ruslan Amirkhanov said,

ON OCTOBER 7TH, 2012 AT 8:33 AM

It's a shame they did not post the first half of my reply, where I dealt with Vili's fascination with black on blonde

action.

Erika said,

ON OCTOBER 7TH, 2012 AT 9:15 AM

sigh, it looks like my long reply to that adorable little white poundcake Vili got lost.

Okay, here is the short version:

Vili, you obviously lack a sufficient understanding of history, culture, and society to understand why the long history of white men having sex with black women matters. Quite simply in fighting for the "purity" of the white race you are fighting for something which was lost long ago. There has been approximately 500 years of race mixing to form the people known as Americans. If there was a "pure white country" where only white people who could show no Native American or Black ancestors most of your white supremacists and white nationalists wouldn't qualify.

And honey, its obviously you've never been to either a NASCAR race and an opera. Because some black people do like those. Last time i saw an orchestra play not only were there black people in the audience there were black people on stage. Your arguments based upon stereotypes do not impress anyone with actual knowledge.

Will Stockman said,

ON OCTOBER 7TH, 2012 AT 8:49 PM

Whites are constantly discriminated against in this country. Blacks especially, but also hispanics are just as racist as whites and perhaps even more so in my experience. There is no priveledge to being white except you are usually not taught by your race to sling meth, gangbang, drop out of school, be lazy, "get Obama money" etc...

What a great student. Maybe now I will donate money to Towson. Now that all groups are funded by the donations.

aadila said,

ON OCTOBER 8TH, 2012 AT 9:34 AM

You know something, once these cute little powdered donuts get out into the real world and try to find a job, they may sincerely regret how the Internet makes everything permanent...

Employers don't just read facebook, you know...

Ruslan Amirkhanov said,

ON OCTOBER 8TH, 2012 AT 9:52 AM

Will, if whites are constantly discriminated against, let's see some evidence for it. Also what is this "Obama money" you refer to? Has Obama enacted some kind of social welfare programs that I missed? Lastly, meth is often sold in rural areas or places which don't have a large black population. In fact statistically, most drug dealers are white, as are most users.

Reynardine said,

ON OCTOBER 8TH, 2012 AT 10:48 AM

Well, Ruslan, I suppose there is still some Hays Office sentiment among our moderators, but at least I no longer have to refer to my next birthday as LXIX.

Erika said,

ON OCTOBER 8TH, 2012 AT 1:53 PM

Reynardine and Ruslan, it is probably sufficient to say that Vili's appears to be a frequent visitor to the "Black Rooster Theatre."

Reynardine said,

ON OCTOBER 8TH, 2012 AT 5:38 PM

Erika, I presume you mean the one next door to "The Pink Pussycat", where, for a certain surcharge, they will seat you where you can see both features at once.

Reynardine said,

ON OCTOBER 8TH, 2012 AT 5:41 PM

Gee, I hope the foregoing gets past our Hays Office. And to think I turn LXIX in about three weeks!

Kiwiwriter said,

ON OCTOBER 8TH, 2012 AT 5:44 PM

"Whites are constantly discriminated against in this country. Blacks especially, but also hispanics are just as racist as whites and perhaps even more so in my experience. There is no priveledge to being white

except you are usually not taught by your race to sling meth, gangbang, drop out of school, be lazy, "get Obama money" etc"

Will Stockman, if we're going to play the sweeping generalities game, I can play it, too.

How about this? Whites are taught by their race to pollute the planet, dump oil onto beaches, develop and use atomic bombs, develop and use Zyklon B, run monopolistic corporations that rob their customers and outsource their employees, rip off their companies' pension plans, cause global warming, machine-gun laborers trying to unionize, massacre Jews, enslave blacks, inflict smallpox on Native Americans, criminalize the construction and waste management industries, turn information systems into propaganda tools, and create a military-industrial complex that starts wars for fun and profit.

What I said is just as inaccurate and unfair as what you said...except for one thing. The above subjects I have covered have had a hell of a greater negative and long-term impact on the world than gangs and school dropouts.

And as a member of the "Master Race," you might want to master basic spelling. "Privilege." Look it up. In Webster's or Johnson's. Invented by white guys. Yeah, they also do a great number of good things.

Vili said,

ON OCTOBER 9TH, 2012 AT 10:32 PM

Well, I was hoping for a more fulfilling discussion and I used as polite a language I could muster, but you leftists have proven yet again that you possess a simple demeanor as spiteful, hateful little creatures.

I'd like to argue why the White race exists, why it deserves to continue to exist, and the reason that existence is threatened.

And your main answer is that..... I'm a cuckold?

Brilliant stuff. I'm sure it will be a fine explanation for the growing ranks of discontent White men in America as to why your genocidal policies are justified.

Future White men: "Why have you destroyed our countries future by importing the Third World?"

Antifa response: "Clearly, all of you are expressing political concerns representing repressed homo-erotic urges for colored men. Please return to your homes"

lol.

Please use this instead of "white privilege" to suppress legitimate White interests. This way the anti-White narrative will collapse at an even faster rate than its current high-speed death trajectory.

"This merely shows you do not understand what happened in the former Yugoslavia."

To make it personal, as you seem incapable of doing anything otherwise, I believe you need to increase your reading comprehension skills. I was just alluding to a scenario in which various groups are shooting one another. I wasn't hinting that the ethnic and political scenario of 90's Yugoslavia has a particular lesson for our time.

"Ultimately - and this is what you ignorant white nationalists fail to release - all the white "race" really is especially in this country is people who happen to look "white." There is nothing pure about it You can't save something which already doesn't exist. "

I'm no genetic purist: I just don't want everything being overwhelmed by an equatorial lowest common denominator. For me, its a certain "critical mass." of non-European genetics within a White population that will cause it to take on societal characteristics of the Bario or the Hood. Its reflective of the "20%" black population proportion you often hear that causes White flight to begin. Its both instinctual and rational, entirely justifiable, and something I highly doubt all of you white liberals don't participate in yourselves.

You see, I simply cannot believe any of you aren't living elaborate lives of liberal self-deception. For you to prove to me you believe all the B.S. your spewing, you merely need to move to a lower income or even middle income, 90% black area (Detroit would suffice), and send your children to Martin Luther King highschool so they can be one of the 5 or 6 White students at the whole school.

Hell, doesn't even need to be blacks. 90%+ Vietnamese or Hispanic high schools for you children would do, and I still doubt you'd stick around before you were dolling out 10k+ for the nearest private school.

You must PROVE that to me. If you enjoy that new multi-racial utopia, and enjoy the company of your "equal" black neighbors, you may just spark my damn interest on this post-racial thing. However, knowing liberals, some of the best White-flighters out there, you'll be outta there in a month to the whitest place you can find where zoning laws keep housing prices too high for your colored proles to move in and ruin your coffee shops and dog parks.

"One of the things I was struck by Kirsten Kaiser's account of the inner workings of the National Alliance,"

The National Alliance is dead. Your right, much of White Nationalism was a clown movement, but your message rings increasingly hollow as you consistently hold up the same caricatures and straw men to beat. So please keep up the dumb-hillbilly-white-trash-secretly-desires-black-men narrative towards your enemies. It shows your true colors.

"You know something, once these cute little powdered donuts get out into the real world and try to find a job, they may sincerely regret how the Internet makes everything permanent..."

I'm glad you think economic warfare is a legitimate pursuit against freedom of speech. Its clear from your threatening tone that you're all just a bunch of Stalinists in the waiting, unsatisfied till you've finally had your gulag camps built for all the various assortments of White bigots in America.

I'll even name the first camp for you: The Rosa Parks white racism detention facility.

Sounds like fun!

Erika said,

ON OCTOBER 10TH, 2012 AT 9:31 AM

Renardine, as if the White Nationalists are actually interested in seeing the show in the Pink Pussycat Theater

But yes, they are located right next to each other in Onancock.

But for a serious point about something which is actually not too far from the actual Onancock on the Eastern Shore of Virginia there is an interesting abandoned school along U.S. 13 which i stopped to take some pictures of. According to the historic marker it was the first school for black students in Northhampton County. It opened in (if i remember right) 1950.

Kiwiwriter said,

ON OCTOBER 10TH, 2012 AT 1:03 PM

Vili: "You see, I simply cannot believe any of you aren't living elaborate lives of liberal self-deception. For you to prove to me you believe all the B.S. your spewing, you merely need to move to a lower income or even middle income, 90% black area (Detroit would suffice), and send your children to Martin Luther King highschool so they can be one of the 5 or 6 White students at the whole school.

Hell, doesn't even need to be blacks. 90%+ Vietnamese or Hispanic high schools for you children would do, and I still doubt you'd stick around before you were dolling out 10k+ for the nearest private school.

You must PROVE that to me. If you enjoy that new multi-racial utopia, and enjoy the company of your "equal" black neighbors, you may just spark my damn interest on this post-racial thing. However, knowing liberals, some of the best White-flighters out there, you'll be outta there in a month to the whitest place you can find where zoning laws keep housing prices too high for your colored proles to move in and ruin your coffee shops and dog parks."

Actually, Vili, I do live in just such a city, with just such neighbors, and my daughter is one of the few white girls in her high school. I'm not going to say where I live or where the school is, mostly because it's none of your business.

And we don't have any real problems, either. All of us are getting along just fine. We treat each other with ordinary courtesy, mutual respect, and genuine friendship.

It's not that hard, really. Just treat others as you would like to have them treat you. Jesus said pretty efficiently.

Reynardine said,

ON OCTOBER 10TH, 2012 AT 4:15 PM

Furthermore, Vili, I spent my grad school days living in Bedford-Stuyvesant and most of the rest of my days in Dade County, Florida. ¿Que quieres, bobo?

Gregory said,

ON OCTOBER 10TH, 2012 AT 8:17 PM

Vili has yet to tell us just how much time he has spent in Europe. Spending time with European-Americans within the confines of his trailer park is not the same thing.

As for your obvious insecurities, you are the one who continually brings the topic of miscegenation into the conversation.

Kiwiwriter said,

ON OCTOBER 11TH, 2012 AT 3:35 PM

"I'm glad you think economic warfare is a legitimate pursuit against freedom of speech. Its clear from your

threatening tone that you're all just a bunch of Stalinists in the waiting, unsatisfied till you've finally had your gulag camps built for all the various assortments of White bigots in America.

I'll even name the first camp for you: The Rosa Parks white racism detention facility.

Sounds like fun!"

You know, Vili, the racists built their own little GULag. It was the "Aryan Nations" compound in Idaho, and they filled with with their white bigots and convicted violent criminals to work as security guards. Their two achievements were to hold white racist conventions on a regular basis and to chase and threaten the lives of a Native American family that drove by, whose car had the misfortune to backfire as it passed.

The "security guards" chased the family down the road, drove them into a ditch, threatened their lives, shoved guns in their faces, and said, "For this day, we will let your blood live."

I guess the neo-Nazis figured they could get away with it, because they had their own little camp.

Unfortunately, the real world came back and bit them, because SPLC sued their sorry selves for this horrific misdeed, and Richard Girnt Butler had to turn over the title deeds to this camp, and it ultimately went to the founder of Prodigy, who bulldozed it and turned it into a peace center.

By the way, the GULags pre-date Stalin. And Lenin. And Kerensky. They were set up by the Romanov Tsars, who were many things, but they sure weren't Communists.

I don't care about what white bigots spout and drool at their rallies. I get steamed when their right to free speech ends where someone else's nose begins.

What I do think is that white bigots are stupid, weak, ignorant, nasty people, who blame others for their own failure to address their own problems, and try to make up for their own insignificance by dressing themselves up as "Masters of the Universe."

aadila said,

ON OCTOBER 12TH, 2012 AT 10:27 AM

Vili I think the leftists were saying you are a cockerel, not a cuckold. Or something like that.

aadila said,

ON OCTOBER 12TH, 2012 AT 10:41 AM

And by the way silly Vili, you are forgetting that left wing social engineers already developed and implemented a concept called the magnet school, specifically to end hypersegregation following Brown v Board of Education and the so-called "white flight" phenomenon.

This is because the left wing has people who are smarter, kinder, more civic minded, and generally better citizens than the right wing.

Magnet schools have shown themselves to be a wonderful way to guarantee equal quality of education for all Americans regardless of their demographic background. And as a byproduct of glorious left wing social engineering, these schools help little neo nazis like you to learn the advantages of diversity in civic life from a young age, when impressionable.

aadila said,

ON OCTOBER 12TH, 2012 AT 10:55 AM

And to add on as parentheis to Kiwi's brilliant expose on the fallacies of the red scare:

In 1917 Russia under the tsars was essential a medieval country, almost entirely agrarian and backwards in every way. By 1961, accomplishing what it took the West hundreds of years to achieve, the Soviet Union proved the ability of planned economies to achieve scientific breakthroughs, putting the first man in space.

I don't see how counter-revolutionaries along the way were materially different than the execution of Sacco and Vanzetti who were executed for their ideology on August 23, 1927 in the United States.

Nor does it adequately address how the United States today has the highest incarcerated population of any country on the planet, with about 25% of the world's inmates right here in our borders.

We have more prisoners in our jails today then the Soviet Union during the height of its crack downs on dissenters, where torture is an everyday practice and we lead the way toward state sponsored execution.

And most are black.

Kiwiwriter said,

ON OCTOBER 14TH, 2012 AT 1:54 PM

Thanks, Aadila:

“And to add on as parenthesis to Kiwi’s brilliant expose on the fallacies of the red scare:

In 1917 Russia under the Tsars was essentially a medieval country, almost entirely agrarian and backwards in every way. By 1961, accomplishing what it took the West hundreds of years to achieve, the Soviet Union proved the ability of planned economies to achieve scientific breakthroughs, putting the first man in space.

I don’t see how counter-revolutionaries along the way were materially different than the execution of Sacco and Vanzetti who were executed for their ideology on August 23, 1927 in the United States.

Nor does it adequately address how the United States today has the highest incarcerated population of any country on the planet, with about 25% of the world’s inmates right here in our borders.

We have more prisoners in our jails today than the Soviet Union during the height of its crack downs on dissenters, where torture is an everyday practice and we lead the way toward state sponsored execution.

And most are black.”

Don’t forget that the Soviets also put the first satellite in orbit, the first EVA in space, and the first space rendezvous. Sergei Korolev was a genius.

It appalls me that the world’s most powerful democracy leads the league in incarcerated people. We should be figuring out ways to prevent people from turning to crime AS WELL as punishing them for misdeeds. We need programs to prevent recidivism.

However, while I’m appalled by the high level of incarceration in America, I don’t have too much sympathy for many prisoners – I have more sympathy for victims. We may also lead the league in crime victims. If we can solve crime at its causes, we will have fewer of those, too.

Comment

Name (required)

Email (will not be published) (required)

Website

